

## REAL GROUP ALGEBRAS<sup>\*</sup>

A. EBADIAN<sup>1,2</sup> AND A. R. MEDGHALCHI<sup>1\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Mathematics, Teacher Training University, Tehran, I. R. of Iran, 15614

<sup>2</sup>Current address: Department of Mathematics, Urmia University, I. R. of Iran  
[a.ebadian@mail.urmia.ac.ir](mailto:a.ebadian@mail.urmia.ac.ir), [medghalchi@saba.tmu.ac.ir](mailto:medghalchi@saba.tmu.ac.ir)

**Abstract** – In this paper we initiate the study of real group algebras and investigate some of its aspects. Let  $L^1(G)$  be a group algebra of a locally compact group  $G$ ,  $\tau: G \rightarrow G$  be a group homeomorphism such that  $\tau^2 = \tau\tau = 1$ , the identity map, and  $L^p(G, \tau) = \{f \in L^p(G) : f \circ \tau = \overline{f}\}$  ( $p \geq 1$ ). In this paper, among other results, we clarify the structure of  $L^p(G, \tau)$  and characterize amenability of  $L^1(G, \tau)$  and identify its multipliers.

**Keywords** – Real Banach algebra, amenability, multiplier, derivation, group involution

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Ingelstam [1] introduced the theory of real Banach algebras. The real function algebra theory was developed further by Kulkarni and Limaye [2]. In their excellent monograph, “Real function algebras”, Kulkarni and Limaye present interesting aspects of the theory of  $C(X, \tau)$ . We refer to [3] for our notations.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. An automorphism  $\tau: G \rightarrow G$  is called a topological group involution on  $G$  if  $\tau$  is a homeomorphism and  $\tau(\tau(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in G$ . For example, in group  $(C, +)\tau(z) = \bar{z}$  and in  $(R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot), \tau(x) = x^{-1}$  are topological group involutions. Note that we do not assume that  $\tau(xy) = \tau(y)\tau(x)$ .

Let  $C_o(G, \tau) = \{f \in C_o(G) : f \circ \tau(x) = \overline{f(x)}, x \in G\}$ , and  $C_c(G, \tau) = \{f \in C_c(G) : f \circ \tau(x) = \overline{f(x)}, x \in G\}$  it is clear that, if  $\tau$  is the identity map on  $G$ , then  $C_o(G, \tau) = C_o(G), C_c(G, \tau) = C_c(G)$ . If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we define  $f \circ \tau(x) = \overline{f(x)}$ , for all  $x \in G$ . Clearly,  $L^p(G, \tau) \subseteq L^p(G)$  and if  $\tau$  is the identity map,  $L^p(G, \tau)$  consists of real functions.

### 2. THE STRUCTURE OF $L^1(G, \tau)$ AND $M(G, \tau)$

**Lemma 2. 1.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\tau$  be a topological group involution on  $G$ . If  $\sigma: C_c(G) \rightarrow C_c(G)$  is defined by  $\sigma(f) = f \circ \tau$ , then (i)  $\sigma$  is an algebra involution on  $C_c(G)$  and  $C_c(G, \tau) = \{f \in C_c(G) \mid \sigma(f) = f\}$ ,

$$(ii) C_c(G) = C_c(G, \tau) \oplus iC_c(G, \tau).$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>Received by the editor May 14, 2002 and in final revised form January 26, 2004

<sup>\*\*</sup>Corresponding author

**Proof.** (i) We must show that whenever  $f \in C_c(G)$ , then  $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in C_c(G)$ . To do this, we have  $\text{supp}(\bar{f} \circ \tau) = \text{cl}([\bar{f} \circ \tau]^{-1}\{0\}) \subseteq \tau^{-1}(\text{supp } \bar{f})$ .

It follows that  $\text{supp}(\bar{f} \circ \tau)$  is compact, i.e.,  $(\bar{f} \circ \tau) \in C_c(G)$ . Hence,  $\text{supp}(\bar{f} \circ \tau)$  is compact, i.e.,  $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in C_c(G)$ . The rest of (i) is clear.

(ii) Clearly,  $f = \frac{f + \sigma(f)}{2} + i \frac{f - \sigma(f)}{2i}$ . Since  $\sigma^2 = i$ , (=identity)  $\sigma(\frac{f + \sigma(f)}{2}) = \frac{f + \sigma(f)}{2}$  and  $\sigma(\frac{f - \sigma(f)}{2i}) = \frac{f - \sigma(f)}{2i}$ . It follows that  $f = g + ih$  where  $g, h \in C_c(G, \tau)$ .

Now if  $f = g + ih = g_1 + ih_1$ , then  $g = \frac{f + \sigma(f)}{2}$ , i.e.,  $g = g_1$  and thus  $h = h_1$ .

**Note.** By the same argument one can conclude that  $C_0(G) = C_0(G, \tau) \oplus iC_0(G, \tau)$ . In fact it is enough to show that  $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in C_0(G)$  whenever  $f \in C_0(G)$ . Since  $f \in C_0(G)$ , for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a compact set  $F$  in  $G$  such that  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $x \in F'$ . Clearly,  $\tau^{-1}(F)$  is compact, and if  $x \notin \tau^{-1}(F)$ , then  $\tau(x) \notin F$ , i.e.,  $|\bar{f} \circ \tau(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in C_0(G)$ .

Let  $M(G)$  be the Banach space of all complex regular Borel measures on  $G$ . For each  $\mu \in M(G)$ , we define  $\mu_\tau = \mu \circ \tau$ , then it is clear that  $\mu_\tau \in M(G)$ . Also by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem one can show that for every bounded Borel measurable function  $h$  on  $G$ ,

$$\int_G h d\mu_\tau = \int_G (h \circ \tau) d\mu. \quad (1)$$

Clearly, (1) is true when  $h$  is a characteristic function; by linearity it holds when  $h$  is a simple function; by continuity (1) holds when  $h$  is integrable.

**Proposition 2. 2.** Let  $M(G, \tau) = \{\mu \in M(G) \mid \mu \circ \tau = \bar{\mu}\}$ . Then  $M(G, \tau)$  is a real Banach algebra with the convolution product  $\mu * \nu(E) = \int_G \nu(x^{-1}E) d\mu(x) = \int_G \mu(Ey^{-1}) d\nu(y)$  ( $\mu, \nu \in M(G, \tau)$ ) and  $M(G) = M(G, \tau) \oplus iM(G, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu, \nu \in M(G, \tau)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu * \nu) \circ \tau(E) &= \int_G \nu(x^{-1}\tau(E)) d\mu(x) = \int_G \nu(\tau(\tau(x)^{-1}E)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G \overline{\nu((\tau(x)^{-1})E)} d\mu(x) = \int_G \overline{\nu(x^{-1}E)} d\mu \circ \tau \\ &= \overline{\mu * \nu(E)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Therefore  $\mu * \nu \in M(G, \tau)$ . The rest of the proof follows the same line as the proof of Lemma 2.1. Therefore, it is omitted.

**Remark.** For a real linear space  $A$ , the real dual space of  $A$ , that is, the space of all real-valued continuous linear functional on  $A$  will be denoted by  $A^*$ .

**Proposition 2. 3.** Every real-valued continuous functional  $\phi$  on  $C_0(G, \tau)$  can be represented as  $\phi(f) = \int_G f d\mu$ , where  $\mu$  is the unique measure in  $M(G, \tau)$  such that  $\|\psi\| = \|\mu\|$  and vice versa.

**Proof.** Let  $f \in C_0(G, \tau)$ . Then  $f = g + ih$  where  $g, h \in C_0(G, \tau)$ . If we define  $\psi(f) = \phi(g) + i\phi(h)$ , then clearly  $\psi \in C_0(G)^*$  and so by the Riesz representation theorem ([3,

theorem (14.4)), there exists a unique measure  $\mu$  in  $M(G)$  such that  $\psi(f) = \int_G f d\mu$  ( $f \in C_0(G)$ ) and  $\|\psi\| = \|\mu\|$ . It follows that  $\phi(h) = \int_G h d\mu$  for every  $h$  in  $C_0(G, \tau)$ . Now, in order to prove that  $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$ , we have  $\overline{\psi}(\sigma(f)) = \overline{\psi}(g - ih) = \phi(g) - i\phi(h) = \psi(f)$ . Therefore,

$$\int_G f d\psi = \int_G \overline{\sigma(f)} d\mu = \int_G \overline{\sigma(f)} d\bar{\mu} = \int_G f d\bar{\mu} \circ \tau \tag{3}$$

( $f \in C_0(G)$ ). Thus,  $\mu = \bar{\mu} \circ \tau$ , i.e.  $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$ . Also, similar to the proof of [6, Theorem 3.2.1] we can show that  $\|\psi\| = \|\mu\|$ .

Conversely, let  $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$  and  $\phi(f) = \int_G f d\mu$  ( $f \in C_0(G, \tau)$ ). If  $f \in C_0(G, \tau)$ , then  $\sigma(f) = f$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}(f) &= \bar{\phi}(\sigma(f)) = \int_G \overline{\sigma(f)} d\mu = \int_G \overline{\sigma(f)} d\bar{\mu} = \int_G (f \circ \tau) d\bar{\mu} \\ &= \int_G f d\bar{\mu} \circ \tau = \int_G f d\mu = \phi(f). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Thus  $\phi(f)$  is real.

**Theorem 2. 4.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with the left Haar measure  $\lambda$  and  $\tau$  be a topological group involution on  $G$ . Then  $\lambda \circ \tau = \lambda$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to show that  $\lambda \circ \tau$  is a positive measure on  $G$ . Also if  $B$  is a Borel set, then  $\lambda \circ \tau(xB) = \lambda(\tau(xB)) = \lambda(\tau(x)\tau(B)) = \lambda(\tau(B)) = \lambda \circ \tau(B)$  ( $x \in G$ ). Therefore,  $\lambda \circ \tau$  is left invariant. So, there is a positive number  $c$  such that  $\lambda \circ \tau(B) = c\lambda(B)$  for every Borel set  $B$ . If  $U$  is an open set, then  $\lambda \circ \tau(\tau(U)) = c\lambda(\tau(U))$ , i.e.,  $\lambda(U) = c\lambda(\tau(U))$  which is equal to  $c^2\lambda(U)$ . Therefore, for every open set  $U$  we have  $\lambda(U) = c^2\lambda(U)$ . So,  $c = 1$ . Hence,  $\lambda \circ \tau = \lambda$ .

For a locally compact group  $G$  and the Haar measure  $\lambda$  we defined  $L^p(G, \tau) = \{f \in L^p(G) \mid f \circ \tau = \bar{f}\}$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). Clearly  $L^p(G, \tau) \subseteq L^p(G)$ ,  $L^p(G, \tau)$  is a real algebra and  $L^p(G) = L^p(G, \tau) \oplus iL^p(G, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 2. 5.** (a) For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $L^p(G, \tau)$  is a real Banach space, and  $L^2(G, \tau)$  is a real Hilbert space with an inner product,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_G \bar{g} d\lambda. \tag{5}$$

(b) For each  $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$ ,  $\max\{\|f\|_p, \|g\|_p\} \leq \|f + ig\| \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$ .

(c)  $L^1(G, \tau)^* = L^\infty(G, \tau)$ .

(d)  $L^1(G, \tau)$  has a bounded approximate identity of norm 1.

**Proof.** (a). Clearly,  $L^p(G, \tau)$  is a real subspace of  $L^p(G)$ . Let  $f, g \in L^p(G, \tau)$  then  $f * g \in L^p(G)$ , [4]. We will show that  $f * g \in L^p(G, \tau)$ . In order to do this, by (2.4) and (1) we have

$$(f * g)(\tau(x)) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}\tau(x))d\lambda(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_G f(\tau(\tau(y)))g(\tau(\tau(y))^{-1}x)d\lambda(y) \\
&= \int_G \overline{f(\tau(y))g(\tau(y^{-1})x)}d\lambda(y) \\
&= \int_G \overline{f(y)g(y^{-1}x)}d\lambda \circ \tau(y) \\
&= \int_G \overline{f(y)g(y^{-1}x)}d\lambda(y) = \overline{(f * g)}(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for every  $x \in G$ , hence  $f * g \in L^p(G, \tau)$ . We now prove that  $L^p(G, \tau)$  is complete. Let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(G, \tau)$ . Since  $L^p(G)$  is complete, there exists  $f \in L^p(G)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0$ . Now, there exists a subsequence of  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  as  $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(x) = f(x)$ ,  $\lambda$ -almost everywhere, and so  $f(\tau(x)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(\tau(x)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}_{n_k}(x) = \bar{f}(x)$ ,  $\lambda$ -almost everywhere. Therefore,  $f \in L^p(G, \tau)$ . Hence  $L^p(G, \tau)$  is a real Banach algebra and not a complex algebra.

If  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_G f \bar{g} d\lambda$  for every  $f, g \in L^2(G, \tau)$ , then  $\langle f, g \rangle = \overline{\langle f, g \rangle}$ . Therefore  $L^2(G, \tau)$  is a real Hilbert space.

(b) For  $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$  we have  $\|f\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|(f + ig)\|_p + \|(f - ig)\|_p) = \|f + ig\|_p$ . Similarly,  $\|g\|_p \leq \|f + ig\|_p$ .

(c) We know that  $L^1(G)^* \cong L^\infty(G)$ . Let  $f \in L^1(G)$ . So  $f = g + ih$  where  $g, h \in L^1(G, \tau)$ . Now, we define  $\psi(f) = \phi(f) + i\phi(g)$  where  $\phi \in L^1(G, \tau)^*$ . It is clear that  $\psi \in L^1(G)^*$  and therefore, there exists a unique  $p \in L^\infty(G)$  such that  $\psi(f) = \int_G f p d\lambda$  ( $f \in L^1(G)$ ).

Hence we have,

$$\overline{\psi(\sigma(f))} = \overline{\psi(g - ih)} = \overline{\phi(g) - i\phi(h)} = \psi(f). \tag{7} (*)$$

This implies that

$$\int_G f p d\lambda = \overline{\int_G \sigma(f) p d\lambda} = \int_G (f \circ \tau) \bar{p} d\lambda = \int_G f \bar{p} \circ \tau d\lambda \quad (f \in L^1(G)). \tag{8}$$

Therefore,  $p \circ \tau = \bar{p}$ , i.e.,  $p \in L^\infty(G, \tau)$ . Also, we have  $\phi(f) = \int_G f p d\lambda$  for every  $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$  and by (\*)  $\phi(f)$  is real. Conversely, if  $\phi: L^1(G, \tau) \rightarrow R$  is defined by  $\phi(f) = \int_G f p$  where  $p \in L^\infty(G, \tau)$  and  $f$  is an arbitrary function, then  $\phi \in L^1(G, \tau)^*$  and the proof is complete.

(d) Let  $U$  be any compact neighborhood of  $e$  and  $(U_\alpha)$  be the collection of all compact neighborhoods of  $e$  in  $U$ , which is directed by a set inclusion ( $\alpha \leq \beta$  if and only if  $U_\alpha \supseteq U_\beta$ ). If we define  $f_\alpha = \frac{\chi_{U_\alpha}}{\lambda(U_\alpha)}$  and  $g_\alpha = \frac{\chi_{\tau(U_\alpha)}}{\lambda(U_\alpha)} = \frac{\chi_{U_\alpha} \circ \tau}{\lambda(\tau(U_\alpha))}$ , then, since  $\tau$  is a homeomorphism,  $\{f_\alpha\}$  and  $\{g_\alpha\}$  are bounded approximate identities of norm one for  $L^1(G)$ . If we define  $e_\alpha = \frac{f_\alpha + g_\alpha}{2}$ , then  $\{e_\alpha\}$  is a bounded approximate identity of norm one for  $L^1(G)$ , and also for  $L^1(G, \tau)$  since  $e_\alpha \in L^1(G, \tau)$ .

**Lemma 2. 6.** For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the linear space  $C_c(G, \tau)$  is a dense subspace of  $L^p(G, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f \in L^p(G, \tau)$ , since  $C_c(G)$  is a dense subspace of  $L^p(G)$ , there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $C_c(G)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0$ . Let  $g_n = \frac{f_n + \tilde{f}_n \circ \tau}{2}$ . Then  $g_n \in C_c(G, \tau)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n - (\frac{f + \tilde{f} \circ \tau}{2})\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n - f\|_p = 0$ .

**Theorem 2. 7.** For  $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$  and  $\psi \in L^2(G, \tau)$ , let  $T_\mu \psi = \mu * \psi$ . Each  $T_\mu$  is a bounded operator on the real Hilbert space  $L^2(G, \tau)$ , and the mapping  $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$  is a faithful  $*$ -representation of  $M(G, \tau)$ . Note that  $M(G, \tau)$  is a  $*$ -Banach algebra.

**Proof.** The linearity of  $T_\mu$  on  $L^2(G, \tau)$  is obvious, and the boundedness of  $T_\mu$ , with  $\|T_\mu\| \leq \|\mu\|$ , follows from [3,(20.12.ii)]. For  $\psi \in L^1(G, \tau) \cap L^2(G, \tau)$ , we have

$$(\mu * \nu) * \psi = \mu * (\nu * \psi) \tag{9}$$

[2, (19.2.iv)]. Thus  $T_{\mu * \nu}(\psi) = T_\mu(T_\nu \psi)$  for all  $\psi \in L^1(G, \tau) \cap L^2(G, \tau)$ . Since  $C_c(G, \tau) \subseteq L^1(G, \tau) \cap L^2(G, \tau)$ , by Lemma (2.7),  $L^1(G, \tau) \cap L^2(G, \tau)$  is dense in  $L^2(G, \tau)$ . It follows that  $T_{\mu * \nu} = T_\mu T_\nu$ . To show that  $T_\mu \neq 0$  if  $\mu \neq 0$ , consider an  $f \in C_c(G, \tau)$  such that  $\int_G f^* d\mu \neq 0$ . Since  $\mu * f(e) = \int_G f^* d\mu \neq 0$  and  $\mu * f$  is continuous; thus  $T_\mu f$  is not a zero element of  $L^2(G, \tau)$ . Note that  $f^*$  is the involution of  $f$ .

### 3. AMENABILITY AND WEAK AMENABILITY OF REAL GROUP ALGEBRAS

In this section, we show that amenability of  $L^1(G, \tau)$  and  $L^1(G)$  are equivalent. We shall use some notions of [1].

**Definition 3. 1.** A Banach algebra  $A$  over  $F$  is called amenable if for every Banach  $A$ -module  $X$  over  $F$ ,  $H^1(A, X^*) = \{0\}$ .

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra over  $F$ , and  $X$  be a Banach  $A$ -module over  $F$ . If  $F = R$ , we say that  $X$  is a real Banach  $A$ -module for the real Banach algebra  $A$ . If  $F = C$ , we say  $X$  is a Banach  $A$ -module for the Banach algebra  $A$ .

**Definition 3. 2.** Let  $X$  be a real Banach space. Then  $BL_R(X, C)$ , consists of all complex-valued continuous real-linear functional on  $X$ , which is a real Banach space, denoted by  $X'$  and called the complex dual of  $X$ .

If  $A$  is a real Banach algebra and  $X$  is a real Banach  $A$ -module, then  $X'$  with the natural module action is also a real Banach  $A$ -module.

Note that in this case  $X'$  is isomorphic to  $X^* \times X^*$ .

**Lemma 3. 3.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\tau$  be a topological involution on  $G$ . Suppose  $X$  is a real Banach  $L^1(G, \tau)$ -module. Then  $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = \{0\}$  if and only if  $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) = \{0\}$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to see that  $Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) \oplus iZ^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$ . Now, let  $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) = \{0\}$  and let  $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X')$ . There exist elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $X^*$  such that  $D = \delta_a + i\delta_b$ . If  $c = a + ib$ , then  $c \in X'$  and  $d = \delta_c$ . Hence  $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = \{0\}$ .

Conversely, we assume that  $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = \{0\}$  and let  $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$ . By the assumption  $D \in B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X')$ . Clearly,  $B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) \oplus iB^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$ .

Hence there exist unique elements  $D_1, D_2$  in  $B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$  such that  $D = D_1 + iD_2$ . On the other hand,  $D = D + i0$  where  $D, 0 \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$ . Therefore, we have  $D_1 = D$  and  $D_2 = 0$ . Hence  $D \in B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$  and so  $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) = \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 3. 4.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real Banach space and  $X \times X$  be the (complex) linear space under the standard operations of addition and scalar multiplication. If we equip  $X \times X$  by the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , which satisfies the inequalities

$$\max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \leq C_1 \|\cdot\| \quad (10)$$

and

$$\|(x, y)\| \leq C_2 \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}, \quad (11)$$

for constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , then

- (i)  $(X \times X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space
- (ii) The map  $\eta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ , defined by  $\eta(x) = (x, 0)$ , is a real-linear continuous mapping.
- (iii) The map  $\psi: X' \rightarrow (X \times X)^*$ , defined by  $\psi(\lambda)(x, y) = \lambda(x) + i\lambda(y)$ , is a real-linear continuous mapping onto the real Banach space  $(X \times X)^*$ .

**Proof.** (i) and (ii) are clear. (iii)  $\psi$  is a well-defined real-linear mapping. For each  $\lambda \in X'$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(\lambda)\| &= \sup\{|\psi(x, y)| : \|(x, y)\| \leq 1, x, y \in X\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\lambda(x)| + |\lambda(y)| : \|x\| \leq C_1, \|y\| \leq C_1, x, y \in X\} \\ &\leq 2C_1 \|\lambda\|. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Hence  $\psi$  is continuous. On the other hand, for each  $\lambda \in X'$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(\lambda)\| &= \sup\{|\psi(\lambda)(x, 0)| : x \in X, \|(x, 0)\| \leq 1\} \\ &\geq \{|\lambda(x)| : x \in X, C_2 \|x\| \leq 1\} = C_2^{-1} \|\lambda\|. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Hence  $\psi$  is one-to-one. To show that  $\psi$  is onto, let  $\Lambda \in (X \times X)^*$ . Then  $\Lambda \circ \eta \in X'$  and  $\psi(\Lambda \circ \eta) = \Lambda$ .

**Theorem 3. 5** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\tau$  be a topological involution on  $G$ . Then  $L^1(G, \tau)$  is amenable if and only if  $L^1(G)$  is amenable.

**Proof.** Let  $L^1(G, \tau)$  be amenable,  $X$  be a Banach  $L^1(G)$ -module and  $\Delta \in Z^1(L^1(G), X^*)$ . If  $X_R$  represents  $X$  as a real Banach space then it is a real Banach  $L^1(G, \tau)$ -module under the module actions defined by

$$f.x = (f + i0).x, \quad x.f = x.(f + i0), (f \in L^1(G, \tau), x \in X) \quad (14)$$

Now we define the map  $D : L^1(G, \tau) \rightarrow X_R^*$  by  $Df = \text{Re} \Delta(f + i0)$ . Clearly  $D$  is a real-linear mapping and since for each  $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$

$$\|Df\| \leq \sup \{ \|\Delta(f + i0)(x)\| : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1 \} \leq \|\Delta\| \|f\|, \quad (15)$$

$D$  is continuous. On the other hand, for each  $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$ ,

$$(Df).g = \text{Re}(\Delta(f + i0).(g + i0)), f.(Dg) = \text{Re}((f + i0).\Delta(g + i0)). \quad (16)$$

Hence  $D(fg) = (Df).g + f.(Dg)$  and so  $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X_R^*)$ . The amenability of  $L^1(G, \tau)$  implies that there exists  $u \in X_R^*$  such that  $D = \delta_u$ . Now we define  $\lambda : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  by  $\lambda(x) = u(x) - iu(ix)$ . Clearly  $\lambda \in X^*$  and for  $f \in L^1(G, \tau), x \in X$  we have

$$(\lambda.(f + i0))(x) = u(f.x) - iu(f.ix), ((f + i0).\lambda)(x) = u(x.f) - iu(ix.f). \quad (17)$$

We can show that  $\Delta(f + ig)(x) = (\delta_\lambda(f + ig))(x)$  for every  $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$  and  $x \in X$ . Hence  $\Delta = \delta_\lambda$  and so  $\Delta$  is an inner derivation, i.e.  $H^1(L^1(G), X^*) = \{0\}$ . Thus  $L^1(G)$  is amenable.

Conversely, let  $L^1(G)$  be amenable and let  $X$  be a real Banach  $L^1(G, \tau)$ -module. By Lemma 3.3 it is enough to show that  $H^1(L^1(G), X') = \{0\}$ . Let  $D : L^1(G, \tau) \rightarrow X'$  be a continuous real derivation. By Lemma 3.4,  $X \times X$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ . The map  $\psi : X' \rightarrow (X \times X)^*$ , defined by  $\psi(\lambda)(x, y) = \lambda(x) + i\lambda(y)$  ( $x, y \in X, \lambda \in X'$ ) is a continuous real-linear mapping which is one-one and onto. The space  $X \times X$  is a Banach  $L^1(G)$ -module under the familiar module actions. Now we define the map  $\Delta : L^1(G) \rightarrow (X \times X)^*$  by  $\Delta(f + ig) = \psi(Df) + i\psi(Dg)$ . Clearly  $\Delta$  is a complex linear mapping and for  $f, g \in L^1(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(f + ig)\| &\leq \|\psi\| \|D\| \|f\|_1 + \|\psi\| \|D\| \|g\|_1 \leq 2 \|\psi\| \|D\| \max\{\|f\|_1, \|g\|_1\} \\ &\leq 2 \|\psi\| \|D\| \|f + ig\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Hence  $\Delta$  is continuous. Considering the module actions on  $X \times X$  we can show that

$$\psi((Df).g) = \psi(Df).(g + i0) \quad (19)$$

and

$$\psi(f.(Dg)) = (f + i0).\psi(Dg) \quad (20)$$

Since  $D$  is a  $X'$ -derivation on  $L^1(G, \tau)$ , by using the above equation we have

$$\Delta((f_1 + ig_1).(f_2 + ig_2)) = (\Delta(f_1 + ig_1).(f_2 + ig_2)) + (f_1 + ig_1).(\Delta(f_2 + ig_2)).$$

Therefore,  $\Delta \in Z^1(L^1(G), (X \times X)^*)$  and so there exists  $\Lambda \in (X \times X)^*$  such that  $\Delta = \delta_\Lambda$ . Since  $\psi$  is onto and one-to-one there exists a unique  $\lambda \in X'$  such that  $\Lambda = \psi(\lambda)$ .

Now we notice that  $\psi(f.\eta) = (f + i0).\psi(\eta)$  and  $\psi(\eta.f) = \psi(\eta).(f + i0)$  for every  $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$  and  $\eta \in X'$ . Hence

$$\psi(Df) = \psi(Df) + i\psi(D0) = \Delta(f + i0) = \delta_\Lambda(f + i0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f + i0).\Lambda - \Lambda.(f + i0) &= (f + i0).\psi(\lambda) - \psi(\lambda).(f + i0) \\
 &= \psi(f.\lambda) - \psi(\lambda.f) = \psi(\delta_\lambda(f)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{21}$$

Since  $\psi$  is one-to-one, it implies that  $D(f) = \delta_\lambda(f)$  for each  $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$  and  $D = \delta_\lambda$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3. 6.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\tau$  be a topological involution on  $G$ . Then  $L^1(G, \tau)$  is weakly amenable if and only if  $L^1(G)$  is weakly amenable.

**Proof.** Let  $L^1(G, \tau)$  be a weakly amenable real Banach algebra. We show that for each  $\Delta \in Z^1(L^1(G), L^1(G)^*)$  there exists  $\Lambda \in L^1(G)^*$  such that  $\Delta = \delta_\Lambda$ . Let  $\eta: L^1(G, \tau) \rightarrow L^1(G)$  be defined by  $\eta(f) = f + i0$  and  $\psi: L^1(G, \tau)' \rightarrow L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$  be defined by  $\psi(\lambda)(f + ig) = \lambda(f) + i\lambda(g)$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $\eta$  and  $\psi$  are continuous real-linear mapping. Also,  $\psi$  is a one-to-one and onto mapping from the real Banach space  $L^1(G, \tau)'$  onto  $L^1(G)^*$  as a real Banach space. By the open Mapping Theorem for real Banach spaces,  $\psi^{-1}: L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^* \rightarrow L^1(G, \tau)'$  is a real-linear continuous mapping. Now if we define  $D = \psi^{-1} \circ \Delta \circ \eta$ , then it is easy to see that  $D$  is a real-linear continuous mapping. To show that  $D$  is an  $L^1(G, \tau)'$ -derivation on  $L^1(G, \tau)$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(D(fg)) &= (\psi \circ D)(fg) = \Delta(fg + i0) = \Delta((f + i0).(g + i0)) \\
 &= (\Delta \circ \eta)(f).(g + i0) + (f + i0).(\Delta \circ \eta)(g) \\
 &= \psi(Df).(g + i0) + (f + i0).\psi(Dg).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{22}$$

On the other hand,  $\psi(\mu)(f + i0) = \psi(\mu.f)$  and  $(f + i0).\psi(\mu) = \psi(a.\mu)$  for  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $\mu \in L^1(G, \tau)'$ . Hence, for each  $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$  we have

$$\psi(D(fg)) = \psi(Df.g) + \psi(f.Dg) = \psi(Df.g + f.Dg).
 \tag{23}$$

Since  $\psi$  is one-one, we conclude that  $D$  is an  $L^1(G, \tau)'$ -derivation, i.e.  $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), L^1(G, \tau)')$ . By Lemma 3.3, the weak amenability of  $L^1(G, \tau)$  implies that there exists  $\lambda \in L^1(G, \tau)'$  such that  $D = \delta_\lambda$ . By definition of  $D$  and the above equalities it implies that  $\Delta = \delta_{\psi(\lambda)}$ , and so  $L^1(G)$  is weakly amenable.

Conversely, let  $L^1(G)$  be weakly amenable and  $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), L^1(G, \tau)')$ . By Lemma 3.4 the map  $\psi: L^1(G, \tau)' \rightarrow L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$ , defined by  $\psi(\lambda)(f + ig) = \lambda(f) + i\lambda(g)$ , is a real-linear continuous one-to-one mapping onto  $L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$ , as a real Banach space.

Now we define the map  $\Delta: L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$  by  $\Delta(f + ig) = \psi(Df) + i\psi(Dg)$ . Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4 we can show that  $\Delta$  is a continuous derivation. Hence there exists  $\Lambda \in L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$  such that  $\Delta = \delta_\Lambda$ . Since  $\psi$  is one-to-one and onto, there exists a unique  $\lambda \in L^1(G, \tau)'$  such that  $\Lambda = \psi(\lambda)$ . It can be shown that  $D = \delta_\lambda$  and so  $L^1(G, \tau)$  is weakly amenable by Lemma 3.3.

**Corollary 3. 7.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $\tau$  be a topological involution on  $G$ . Then

- (i)  $L^1(G, \tau)$  is amenable if and only if  $G$  is amenable.
- (ii)  $L^1(G, \tau)$  is weakly amenable.



**Proof.** By Theorem 3.5 and 3.6 the amenability and weak amenability of  $L^1(G, \tau)$  and  $L^1(G)$  are equivalent. Since  $L^1(G)$  is amenable if and only if  $G$  is amenable [5], (i) follows. Since  $L^1(G)$  is weakly amenable [6], we conclude that  $L^1(G, \tau)$  is also weakly amenable.

#### 4. MULTIPLIERS

In this section we characterize the multipliers of  $L^1(G, \tau)$ . A bounded real linear operator  $T$  on  $L^1(G, \tau)$  is called a left (right) multiplier if  $T(f * g) = (Tf) * g (= f * Tg)$   $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$ .

**Definition 4. 1.** Let  $\delta_x$  be the point mass at  $x \in G$ . We define  $m_x = \frac{\delta_x + \delta_{\tau(x)}}{2}$  and  $R_x(f) = m_x * f (f \in L^1(G, \tau))$ .

It is clear that  $m_x \circ \tau = m_x$  (since  $\tau^2 = \tau$ ) and  $\|m_x\| = 1$ . Therefore,  $m_x \in M(G, \tau)$ .

**Lemma 4. 2.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure in  $M(G)$  such that  $f * \mu \in L^1(G, \tau)$  for every  $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$ . Then  $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** We have  $f * \mu(x) = \int_G f(xy^{-1})d\mu(y)$  ( $x \in G$ ). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f * (\bar{\mu} \circ \tau)(x) &= \int_G f(xy^{-1})d(\bar{\mu} \circ \tau)(y) \\ &= \int_G f(x(\tau(y^{-1})))d\bar{\mu}(y) \\ &= \int_G \bar{f}(\tau(x)y^{-1})d\bar{\mu}(y) \\ &= \int_G f(\tau(x)y^{-1})d\bar{\mu}(y) \\ &= \overline{f * \mu(\tau(x))} = f * \mu(x). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

So  $f * (\bar{\mu} \circ \tau) = f * \mu$  for every  $f$  in  $L^1(G, \tau)$ . Since  $L^1(G, \tau)$  has a bounded approximate identity, we have  $\bar{\mu} \circ \tau = \mu$ . Hence  $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 4. 3.** Let  $T$  be a left multiplier on  $L^1(G, \tau)$ . Then there exists a unique  $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$  such that  $Tf = f * \mu (f \in L^1(G, \tau))$  and  $\|\mu\| = \|T\|$ .

**Proof.** We define  $T_0 : L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G)$  by  $T_0(f) = T(g) + iT(h)$  where  $f = g + ih$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(f_1 * f_2) &= T_0((g_1 + ih_1) * (g_2 + ih_2)) \\ &= T_0(g_1 * g_2 + ih_1 * g_2 + ig_1 * h_2 - h_1 * h_2) \\ &= T_0(g_1 * g_2 - h_1 * h_2) + iT(h_1 * g_2 + g_1 * h_2) \\ &= g_1 * Tg_2 - h_1 * Th_2 + ih_1 * Tg_2 + ig_1 * Th_2 \\ &= (g_1 + ih_1) * (Tg_2 + iTh_2) \\ &= f_1 * T_0f_2. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Hence,  $T_0$  is a left multiplier on  $L^1(G)$ . Therefore, by [7] there exists a unique  $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$  such that  $T_0 h = h * \mu$  for every  $h$  in  $L^1(G)$  and  $\|T_0\| = |\mu|(G)$ . Consequently,  $Tf = f * \mu$  for every  $L^1(G, \tau)$  and  $\|T\| \leq \|T_0\| = |\mu|(G)$ . Now, since  $f * \mu \in L^1(G, \tau)$  for all  $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$ ,  $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$  by Lemma 4.2. But by the proof of [7, Theorem 1] we have  $\mu = \omega^* - \lim_{\alpha} T_0 e_{\alpha} = \omega^* - \lim_{\alpha} T e_{\alpha}$ . Now, since  $\|T e_{\alpha}\| \leq \|T\|$ ,

$$|\mu|(G) \leq \|T\|. \quad (26)$$

Therefore,  $\|T\| = \|\mu\|$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Ingelstam, L. (1964). Real Banach algebras, *Ark. Math.* 5, 239-270.
2. Kulkarni, S. H. & Limaye, B. V. (1992). "Real function algebras" Marcel Dekker, Inc.
3. Hewitt, E. & Ross, K. A. (1963) & (1970). *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vols, I, II Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
4. Bonsall, F. F. & Duncan, J. (1973). "Complete normed algebras", Springer-Verlag, New.
5. Johnson, B. E. (1972). Cohomology in Banach algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127.
6. Johnson, B. E. (1991). Weak amenability of group algebras, *Bull. London. Math. Soc.* 23, 281-284.
7. Wendel, J. G. (1952). Left centralizers and isomorphism of group algebras, *Pacific. J. Math.* 2, 251-261.