

Comultiplication lattice modules

F. Callialp¹, U. Tekir^{2*} and G. Ulucak³

¹Department of Mathematics, Beykent University, Ayazaga-Maslak, 34396, Istanbul, Turkey

²Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, Ziverbey, P.K.34722, Göztepe, Istanbul, Turkey

³Department of Mathematics, Gebze Institute of Technology, P.K.141 41400 Gebze-Kocaeli, Turkey
E-mail: utekir@marmara.edu.tr

Abstract

Let M be a lattice module over the multiplicative lattice L . M is said to be a comultiplication L -module if for every element N of M there exists an element $a \in L$ such that $N = (0_M :_M a)$. Our objective is to investigate properties of comultiplication lattice modules.

Keywords: Multiplicative lattice; lattice modules; comultiplication lattice modules

1. Introduction

A multiplicative lattice L is a complete lattice in which there is defined a commutative, associative multiplication which distributes over arbitrary joins and has a compact greatest element 1_L (least element 0_L) as a multiplicative identity (zero). Let L be a multiplicative lattice and $a \in L$, $L/a = \{b \in L : a \leq b\}$ be a multiplicative lattice with multiplication $c \circ d = cd \vee a$. Multiplicative lattices have been studied (Jayaram and Johnson, 1995, 1997, 1998; Johnson, 2002, 2003, 2004; Johnson and Johnson, 2003).

An element $a \in L$ is said to be proper if $a < 1$. An element $p < 1$ in L is said to be prime if $ab \leq p$ implies $a \leq p$ or $b \leq p$. An element $m < 1$ in L is said to be maximal if $m < x \leq 1$ implies $x = 1$. It is easily seen that maximal elements are prime.

If a, b belong to L , $(a :_L b)$ is the join of all $c \in L$ such that $cb \leq a$. An element e of L is called meet principal if $a \wedge be = ((a :_L e) \wedge b)e$ for all $a, b \in L$. An element e of L is called join principal if $((ae \vee b) :_L e) = a \vee (b :_L e)$ for all $a, b \in L$. $e \in L$ is said to be principal if e is both meet principal and join principal. $e \in L$ is said to be weak meet (join) principal if $a \wedge e = e(a :_L e)$ ($a \vee (0_L :_L e) = (ea :_L e)$) for all $a \in L$. An element a of a multiplicative lattice L is called compact if $a \leq \bigvee b_\alpha$ implies $a \leq b_{\alpha_1} \vee b_{\alpha_2} \vee \dots \vee b_{\alpha_n}$ for some subset $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. If each element of L is a join of principal (compact) elements of L , then L is called a PG -lattice (CG -lattice).

Let M be a complete lattice. Recall that M is a lattice module over the multiplicative lattice L , or simply an L -module in case there is a multiplication between elements of L and M , denoted by lB for $l \in L$ and $B \in M$, which satisfies the following properties:

- i. $(lb)B = l(bB)$;
- ii. $(\bigvee_\alpha l_\alpha)(\bigvee_\beta B_\beta) = \bigvee_{\alpha, \beta} l_\alpha B_\beta$;
- iii. $1_L B = B$;
- iv. $0_L B = 0_M$; for all l, l_α, b in L and for all B, B_β in M .

Let M be an L -module. If N, K belong to M , $(N :_L K)$ is the join of all $a \in L$ such that $aK \leq N$. If $a \in L$, then $(0_M :_M a)$ is the join of all $H \in M$ such that $aH = 0_M$. An element N of M is called meet principal if $(b \wedge (B :_L N))N = bN \wedge B$ for all $b \in L$ and for all $B \in M$. An element N of M is called join principal if $b \vee (B :_L N) = ((bN \vee B) :_L N)$ for all $b \in L$ and for all $B \in M$. N is said to be principal if it is both meet principal and join principal. In a special case, an element N of M is called weak meet principal (weak join principal) if $(B :_L N)N = B \wedge N$ ($(bN :_L N) = b \vee (0_M :_L N)$) for all $B \in M$ (for all $b \in L$). N is said to be weak principal if N is both weak meet principal and weak join principal.

Let M be an L -module. An element N in M is called compact if $N \leq \bigvee_a B_a$ implies $N \leq B_{\alpha_1} \vee B_{\alpha_2} \vee \dots \vee B_{\alpha_n}$ for some subset $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. The greatest element of M will be denoted by 1_M . If each element of M is a join of principal (compact) elements of M , then M is called a PG -lattice (CG -lattice).

Let M be an L -module. An element $N \in M$ is said to be proper if $N < 1_M$. If $\text{Ann}(M) = (0_M :_L 1_M) = 0_L$, then M is called a faithful L -module. If $cm =$

*Corresponding author

Received: 1 August 2013 / Accepted: 25 November 2014

0_M implies $m = 0_M$ or $c = 0_L$ for any $c \in L$ and $m \in M$, M is called a torsion-free L -module.

For various characterizations of lattice modules, the reader is referred to Nakkar and Al-Khouja (1989), Nakkar and Anderson (1988) and Scott Culhan (2005). In this paper we study comultiplication lattice modules over a multiplicative lattice and generalize the important results for comultiplication modules over commutative rings, obtained by Ansari-Toroghy and Farshadifar (2007, 2011), Shaniafi and Smith (2011) to the lattice modules over multiplicative lattice.

2. Comultiplication Lattice Modules

Definition 1.

- i. (Callialp and Tekir, 2011) An L -module M is called a multiplication lattice module if for every element $N \in M$ there exists an element $a \in L$ such that $N = a1_M$
- ii. Let M be a lattice L -module. M is said to be a comultiplication L -module if for every element N of M there exists an element $a \in L$ such that $N = (0_{M:M} a)$.

Lemma 1. Let M be a lattice L -module. Then, M is a comultiplication lattice L -module if and only if $N = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N))$ for every element N in M .

Proof: \Leftarrow : Clear.

\Rightarrow : Suppose that M is a comultiplication lattice L -module and $N \in M$. Then there exists an $a \in L$ such that $N = (0_{M:M} a)$. Thus we have $a \leq (0_{M:L} N)$ so that $(0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N)) \leq (0_{M:M} a) = N$. It is clear that $N \leq (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N))$. This implies $N = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N))$.

Proposition 1. Let M be a lattice L -module. Then the followings are equivalent.

- i. For any $K, N \in M$, $(0_{M:L} K) \leq (0_{M:L} N)$ implies that $N \leq K$.
- ii. For any $K, N \in M$, $(K:L N) = ((0_{M:L} N):_L (0_{M:L} K))$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): For any $K, N \in M$, $(K:L N) \leq ((0_{M:L} N):_L (0_{M:L} K))$. Indeed $b = (K:L N) \Rightarrow bN \leq K \Rightarrow b(0_{M:L} K)N = 0_M \Rightarrow b(0_{M:L} K) \leq (0_{M:L} N) \Rightarrow b = (K:L N) \leq ((0_{M:L} N):_L (0_{M:L} K))$. Conversely, let $r = ((0_{M:L} N):_L (0_{M:L} K))$. Then $r(0_{M:L} K)N = 0_M \Rightarrow (0_{M:L} K) \leq (0_{M:L} rN)$, by (i), we have $rN \leq K$ and so $r \leq (K:L N)$. (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose that $(0_{M:L} K) \leq (0_{M:L} N)$. Then $(K:L N) = ((0_{M:L} N):_L (0_{M:L} K)) = 1_L$ by (ii) and so $N \leq K$.

Theorem 1. Let M be a lattice L -module. Suppose $\phi: L \rightarrow M$ is defined by $\phi(a) = (0_{M:M} a)$ and $\psi: M \rightarrow L$ by $\psi(N) = (0_{M:L} N)$ for all $a \in L$ and

$N \in M$. Then,

- i. $(\phi\psi\phi)(a) = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} (0_{M:M} a))) = (0_{M:M} a) = \phi(a)$ for all $a \in L$.
- ii. $(\psi\phi\psi)(N) = (0_{M:L} (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N))) = (0_{M:L} N) = \psi(N)$ for all $N \in M$.

Proof: i. Suppose that $(0_{M:M} a) = N$. Clearly, $(0_{M:M} a) = N \leq (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N))$. On the other hand, $aN = 0_M$ and so $a \leq (0_{M:L} N)$. Therefore, $(0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N)) \leq (0_{M:M} a) = N$.

ii. Suppose that $b = (0_{M:L} N)$. Clearly $b = (0_{M:L} N) \leq (0_{M:L} (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N)))$. On the other hand, $bN = 0_M$ and so $N \leq (0_{M:M} b) = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N))$. Hence $(0_{M:L} (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N))) \leq (0_{M:L} N) = b$.

Corollary 1. Let M be a lattice L -module. Let us define $\phi: L \rightarrow M$ where $\phi(a) = (0_{M:M} a)$, and $\psi: M \rightarrow L$ where $\psi(N) = (0_{M:L} N)$ for all $a \in L$ and $N \in M$. The followings are equivalent.

- i. M is a comultiplication lattice L -module.
- ii. There exists $a \in L$ such that $N = (0_{M:M} a) = \phi(a)$ for all $N \in M$.
- iii. $\phi\psi$ is an identity map.
- iv. ψ is one-to-one.
- v. $(0_{M:L} K) = (0_{M:L} N)$ implies $K = N$.

Proposition 2. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module. If L is a Noetherian (Artinian) multiplicative lattice, then M is an Artinian (Noetherian) lattice L -module.

Proof: Let L be an Artinian multiplicative lattice. Suppose that $N_1 \leq N_2 \leq \dots$. Then, $(0_{M:L} N_1) \geq (0_{M:L} N_2) \geq \dots$. Since L is Artinian, there exists a positive integer k such that $(0_{M:L} N_k) = (0_{M:L} N_{k+1}) = \dots$. Therefore, $N_k = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N_k)) = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N_{k+1})) = N_{k+1} = \dots$. Consequently, M is a Noetherian lattice module. Similarly, if L is Noetherian, then M is Artinian lattice L -module.

Let L be a multiplicative lattice and M be an L -module. Suppose that $N \in M$. Consider the set $[0_M, N] = \{A \leq N: A \in M\}$. We say that $[0_M, N]$ is a submodule of M . If M is a multiplication L -module, it is clear that $[0_M, N]$ is a comultiplication L -module.

Proposition 3. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module. If $(0_{M:M} b) = 0_M$ for some $b \in L$, then $bY = Y$ for all $Y \in M$. In particular, $b1_M = 1_M$.

Proof: Let $b \in L$ and $Y \in M$. Since M is a comultiplication lattice module, it follows that $bY = (0_{M:M} a)$ for some $a \in L$. Then $abY = 0_M$. Since $(0_{M:M} b) = 0_M$, we have $aY = 0_M$. Consequently, $Y \leq (0_{M:M} a) = bY$ and so $bY = Y$.

Proposition 4. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module. If p is a maximal element of L and $(0_{M:M} p) \neq 0_M$, then $(0_{M:M} p)$ is minimal in M .

Proof: Suppose that $N \leq (0_{M:M} p)$. Since M is a comultiplication lattice L -module, there exists an element a of L such that $N = (0_{M:M} a)$. Since $N \leq (0_{M:M} p)$, we have $pN = 0_M$ and so $p \leq (0_{M:L} N)$. Since p is maximal, $p = (0_{M:L} N)$ or $(0_{M:L} N) = 1_L$. If $p = (0_{M:L} N)$, then $N = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N)) = (0_{M:M} p)$. If $(0_{M:L} N) = 1_L$, then $N = 0_M$. Therefore, $(0_{M:M} p)$ is minimal in M .

Proposition 5. Let M be a comultiplication PG -lattice L -module with 1_M compact. If $p \in L$ is prime and $(0_{M:M} p) = 0_M$, then there exists $c \in L$ such that $c \not\leq p$ and $c1_M = 0_M$.

Proof: Since 1_M is compact, then $1_M = \bigvee_{i=1}^n Y_i$ where Y_i s are principal elements of M . Since $(0_{M:M} p) = 0_M$, $pY_i = Y_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by Proposition 3. Then $p\bigvee(0_{M:L} Y_i) = (\bigvee pY_i) = 1_L$ and so $(0_{M:L} Y_i) \not\leq p$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, $c = \prod_{i=1}^n (0_{M:L} Y_i) \not\leq p$ and $c1_M = 0_M$.

Corollary 2. Let M be a comultiplication PG -lattice L -module with 1_M compact. If M is faithful, then $(0_{M:M} p) \neq 0_M$ for some prime element $p \in L$.

Corollary 3. If M is a comultiplication PG -lattice L -module with 1_M compact and $(0_{M:M} a) = 0_M$ for some $a \in L$, then $1_L = a\bigvee(0_{M:L} 1_M)$.

Proof: Suppose that $1_L \neq a\bigvee(0_{M:L} 1_M)$. Then there exists a maximal element $p \in L$ such that $a\bigvee(0_{M:L} 1_M) \leq p$. Thus we have $(0_{M:M} p) \leq (0_{M:M} a) = 0_M$. Hence $(0_{M:M} p) = 0_M$. There exists an element $c \in L$, $c \not\leq p$ such that $c \leq (0_{M:L} 1_M)$ by Proposition 5. Since $(0_{M:L} 1_M) \leq p$, we have $c \leq p$. This is a contradiction. Consequently, $a\bigvee(0_{M:L} 1_M) = 1_L$.

Proposition 6. Let M be a non-zero comultiplication PG -lattice L -module. Then, M has a minimal element. In particular, every nonzero element of M has a minimal element.

Proof: Suppose that Y is a nonzero principal element of M . Then $(0_{M:L} Y) = a < 1_L$. Then there exists a maximal element p such that $a \leq p$. If $N = (0_{M:M} p) = 0_M$, then $pY = Y$ by Proposition 3 and so $p\bigvee(0_{M:L} Y) = (\bigvee pY) = 1_L$. Therefore, $a = (0_{M:L} Y) \not\leq p$. This is a contradiction. Hence $N = (0_{M:M} p) \neq 0_M$. Therefore, N is a minimal element of M by Proposition 4.

Proposition 7. Let M be a non-zero comultiplication PG -lattice L -module. Then $K \in M$ is minimal if and only if $K = (0_{M:M} p) \neq 0_M$ for some maximal element $p \in L$.

Proof: \Leftarrow : By Proposition 4.

\Rightarrow : Let K be a minimal principal element of M . Since M is a comultiplication lattice L -module, $K = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} K))$. We will show that $(0_{M:L} K)$ is maximal. Let $c \in L$ such that $(0_{M:L} K) \leq c$. Since K is minimal and $cK \leq K$, it follows that $cK = K$ or $cK = 0_M$. If $cK = K$, then $1_L = (cK:L K) = c\bigvee(0_{M:L} K) = c$. If $cK = 0_M$, then $c \leq (0_{M:L} K)$ and so $c = (0_{M:L} K)$.

Proposition 8. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module. Then, $(N:M a) = ((0_{M:M} a):_M (0_{M:L} N))$ for any $a \in L, N \in M$.

Proof: Let $K = (N:M a)$. Then $aK \leq N \Rightarrow (0_{M:L} N)aK = 0_M \Rightarrow (0_{M:L} N)K \leq (0_{M:M} a) \Rightarrow K = (N:M a) \leq ((0_{M:M} a):_M (0_{M:L} N))$. Conversely, if $R = ((0_{M:M} a):_M (0_{M:L} N))$, then $(0_{M:L} N)R \leq (0_{M:M} a) \Rightarrow (0_{M:L} N)aR = 0_M \Rightarrow aR \leq (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N)) = N$. Consequently, $R \leq (N:M a)$.

Theorem 2. Let L be a distributive lattice. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module and $(0_{M:M} a)\bigvee(0_{M:M} b) = (0_{M:M} a\bigwedge b)$ for all $a, b \in L$. Then M is distributive.

Proof: Let $X, Y, Z \in M$. There exist $a, b, c \in L$ such that $X = (0_{M:M} a)$, $Y = (0_{M:M} b)$, $Z = (0_{M:M} c)$. Then,
 $(X\bigvee Y)\bigwedge Z = ((0_{M:M} a)\bigvee(0_{M:M} b))\bigwedge(0_{M:M} c) = (0_{M:M} a\bigwedge b)\bigwedge(0_{M:M} c) = (0_{M:M} a\bigwedge b)\bigwedge(0_{M:M} c) = (0_{M:M} (a\bigwedge b))\bigvee c = (0_{M:M} (a\bigwedge c))\bigvee(b\bigwedge c) = (0_{M:M} a\bigwedge c)\bigwedge(0_{M:M} b\bigwedge c) = (X\bigvee Z)\bigwedge(Y\bigvee Z)$.

Corollary 4. Let L be a distributive lattice. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module and $a\bigvee b = 1_L$ for all $a, b \in L$. Then M is distributive.

Proof: If $a\bigvee b = 1_L$, then $(K:M a\bigwedge b) = (K:M a\bigwedge b)(a\bigvee b) = a(K:M a\bigwedge b)\bigvee b(K:M a\bigwedge b) \leq (K:M b)\bigvee(K:M a)$ for all $a, b, c \in L$. Note that $a(K:M a\bigwedge b) \leq (K:M b)$ and $b(K:M a\bigwedge b) \leq (K:M a)$. It is clear that $(K:M b)\bigvee(K:M a) \leq (K:M a\bigwedge b)$. For $K = 0_M$, we have $(0_{M:M} a)\bigvee(0_{M:M} b) = (0_{M:M} a\bigwedge b)$. The result follows from Theorem 2.

Proposition 9. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module and p, q be maximal elements of L . If

$(0_{M:M} p) \neq 0_M$ and $(0_{M:M} q) \neq 0_M$, then $(0_{M:M} p) \vee (0_{M:M} q) = (0_{M:M} p \wedge q)$.

Proof: Let $0_M \neq (0_{M:M} p) = N$. Since $pN = 0_M$ and p is maximal, we have $p = (0_{M:L} N)$. Similarly, if $0_M \neq K = (0_{M:M} q)$, then $q = (0_{M:L} K)$. Since M is a comultiplication L -module, it follows that $N \vee K = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N \vee K)) = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N) \wedge (0_{M:L} K))$. Consequently, $(0_{M:M} p) \vee (0_{M:M} q) = (0_{M:M} p \wedge q)$.

Definition 2. A lattice L -module M is said to be finitely cogenerated, if for every set $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of elements of M , $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda = 0_M$ implies $\bigwedge_{i=1}^m M_{\lambda_i} = 0_M$ for some positive integer $m > 0$.

Theorem 3. Let M be a faithful comultiplication PG -lattice L -module.

- i. 1_M is compact.
 - ii. $(0_{M:M} a) \neq 0_M$ for all $a < 1_L$.
 - iii. $(0_{M:M} p) \neq 0_M$ for all maximal elements $p \in L$.
 - iv. M is finitely cogenerated.
- Then (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv).

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose that $(0_{M:M} a) = 0_M$ and $a < 1_L$. Then $(0_{M:M} p) = 0_M$ for all maximal elements $a \leq p$. This is a contradiction by Corollary 2. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Clear. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Let $N_\alpha = (0_{M:M} a_\alpha)$. Suppose that $0_M = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in I} (0_{M:M} a_\alpha) = (0_{M:M} \bigvee_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha)$. Then $\bigvee_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha = 1_L$. Indeed, if $\bigvee_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha \leq p$ for some maximal element p , then $(0_{M:M} p) \leq (0_{M:M} \bigvee_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha) = 0_M$. This is a contradiction with (iii). Since 1_L is compact, $\bigvee_{i=1}^n a_{\alpha_i} = 1_L$ and so $0_M = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha = (0_{M:M} \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_{\alpha_i}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (0_{M:M} a_{\alpha_i}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Let $Jac(L)$ denote the infimum of the maximal elements of L . Note that $Jac(L)$ is called the Jacobson radical of L (Nakkar and Al-Khouja, 1985).

Theorem 4. (A dual of Nakayama Lemma for comultiplication lattice modules) Let M be a comultiplication PG -lattice L -module and $a \in L$ such that $a \leq Jac(L)$. If $(0_{M:M} a) = 0_M$, then $M = 0_M$.

Proof: Suppose that $M \neq 0_M$. Then, there exists a maximal element p such that $0_M \neq K = (0_{M:M} p)$ is minimal in M by Proposition 6 and Proposition 7. Since $a \leq p$ and $(0_{M:M} a) = 0_M$, we have $(0_{M:M} p) = 0_M$. This is a contradiction.

Theorem 5. Let M be a comultiplication PG -lattice L -module and $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a collection of elements of M such that $\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha = 0_M$. If

$a = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (0_{M:L} N_\alpha)$ and X is a compact element of M , then $1_L = a \vee (0_{M:L} X)$.

Proof: If X is compact and for $a = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (0_{M:L} N_\alpha)$, $a \vee (0_{M:L} X) \neq 1_L$, then there exists a maximal element p of L such that $a \vee (0_{M:L} X) \leq p$. Then $(0_{M:M} p) \leq (0_{M:M} a) = (0_{M:M} \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (0_{M:L} N_\alpha)) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_\alpha = 0_M$. Hence $(0_{M:M} p) = (0_{M:X} p) = 0_M$. Since the submodule $[0_M, X]$ is comultiplication and X is compact, there exists an element $c \in L$, $c \not\leq p$ such that $c \leq Ann_L(X)$ by Proposition 5. But this is a contradiction, because $Ann_L(X) = (0_{M:L} X) \leq p$. So $a \vee (0_{M:L} X) = 1_L$.

Corollary 5. Let M be a comultiplication PG -lattice L -module. If X is a compact element of M , then the submodule $[0_M, X]$ is finitely cogenerated.

Proof: Let $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be set of elements such that $X_\lambda \leq X$ with $\bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda = 0_M$. By Theorem 5, $1_L = a \vee (0_{M:L} X)$ with $a = \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (0_{M:L} X_\lambda)$. But $(0_{M:L} X) \leq (0_{M:L} X_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence $1_L = a = \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (0_{M:L} X_\lambda)$. Since 1_L is compact, it follows that $1_L = a = \bigvee_{i=1}^n (0_{M:L} X_{\lambda_i})$ for some $n \geq 1$. Since the submodule $[0_M, X]$ is a comultiplication module, we have $X_\lambda = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} X_\lambda))$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence we obtain $0_M = (0_{M:M} 1_L) = (0_{M:M} \bigvee_{i=1}^n (0_{M:L} X_{\lambda_i})) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} X_{\lambda_i})) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n X_{\lambda_i}$.

Definition 3. (Callialp and Tekir, 2011) Let M be an L -module. If 1_M is a principal element in M , then M is called a cyclic lattice module.

Theorem 6. Let M be a PG -lattice module.

- i. If M is a multiplication L -module such that M has a faithful $[0_M, N]$ submodule and N is principal in M , then $a1_M < 1_M$ for every element $a \in L$ with $a < 1_L$.
- ii. If M is a faithful cyclic comultiplication L -module, then $(0_{M:M} a) \neq 0_M$ for every $a \in L$ with $a < 1_L$.
- iii. If M is a comultiplication L -module, then for every element $a \in L$ with $a1_M < 1_M$, there exists a maximal element $p \in L$ with $a \vee (0_{M:L} 1_M) \leq p$ such that $(0_{M:M} p)$ is a minimal in M .

Proof: i. Since M is a multiplication L -module, there exists $b \in L$ such that $N = b1_M$. If there exists $a \in L$ with $a < 1_L$ such that $a1_M = 1_M$, then $N = b1_M = a(b1_M) = aN$. Since N is principal, $a \vee (0_{M:L} N) = (aN:L N) = 1_L$ and so $a = 1_L$. This is a contradiction.

ii. If there exists $a \in L$ with $a < 1_L$ such that $(0_{M:M} a) = 0_M$, then $a1_M = 1_M$ by Proposition 3. Since 1_M is principal and $(0_{M:L} 1_M) = 0_M$, we have $1_L = (a1_M:L 1_M) = a \vee (0_{M:L} 1_M) = a$. This is a

contradiction.

iii. Let $a \in L$ with $a1_M < 1_M$. Then $(0_{M:M} a) \neq 0_M$ by Proposition 3. There exists a minimal element K in M with $K \leq (0_{M:M} a)$ by Proposition 6. Hence there exists a maximal element $p \in L$ such that $K = (0_{M:M} p) \neq 0_M$ by Proposition 7. It follows that $(0_{M:L} 1_M) \leq p$. Indeed, $(0_{M:L} 1_M) \leq (0_{M:L} K) = (0_{M:L} (0_{M:M} p)) \geq p$. Since p is maximal, $(0_{M:L} (0_{M:M} p)) = p$, so $(0_{M:L} 1_M) \leq p$. The proof will be completed if we show that $a \leq p$. Suppose that $a \not\leq p$. Then $a \vee p = 1_L$. Since $K \leq (0_{M:M} a)$, it follows that $0_M = (0_{M:M} 1_L) = (0_{M:M} a \vee p) = (0_{M:M} a) \wedge (0_{M:M} p) = K$. Hence $K = 0_M$. This is a contradiction. We obtain $a \leq p$.

Definition 4. (Nakkar and Anderson, 1988) Let M be an L -module. An element $N < 1_M$ in M is said to be primary, if $aX \leq N$ implies $X \leq N$ or $a^k 1_M \leq N$ for some $k \geq 0$ i.e. $a^k \leq (N;_L 1_M)$ for every $a \in L, X \in M$.

Definition 5. (Nakkar and Anderson, 1988) Let M be an L -module. Let B be an arbitrary element of M . A finite family $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of elements of M such that Q_i is P_i -primary for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $B = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n Q_i$, is called a primary decomposition of B in M . If no Q_i contains $Q_1 \wedge Q_2 \wedge \dots \wedge Q_{i-1} \wedge Q_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge Q_n$ and if the elements P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n are all distinct, then the primary decomposition is said to be reduced (irredundant).

An L -module M is called a K -lattice if it is a CG -lattice and for any compact element $h \in L$ and any compact element $H \in M$, the element hH is compact. Let L be a K -lattice in which the greatest element 1_L is compact and let M be a K -lattice. Clearly for an arbitrary element B of M , any primary decomposition of B can be simplified to a reduced one (Nakkar and Anderson, 1988).

Theorem 7. Let L be a K -lattice and let M be a K -lattice. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module. If 0_M has a primary decomposition, then every element of M has a primary decomposition.

Proof: Let $0_M = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n P_i$ be irredundant primary decomposition. Assume that $N \in M$. Then there exists an $a \in L$ such that $N = (0_{M:M} a)$. Therefore, $N = (0_{M:M} a) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (P_i;_M a)$. We will show that $(P_i;_M a)$ is a primary element of M for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Suppose that $bX \leq (P_i;_M a)$, where $b \in L$ and $X \in M$. Hence $abX \leq P_i$. Since P_i is primary, there exists a positive integer n such that $b^n 1_M \leq P_i$ or $aX \leq P_i$. Hence $X \leq (P_i;_M a)$ or $b^n 1_M \leq P_i \leq (P_i;_M a)$.

Theorem 8. Let M be a lattice L -module. Then the

followings are equivalent.

- i. M is a comultiplication module.
- ii. For every element $N \in M$ and each element $c \in L$ with $N < (0_{M:M} c)$, there exists an element $b \in L$ such that $c < b$ and $N = (0_{M:M} b)$.
- iii. For every element $N \in M$ and each element $c \in L$ with $N < (0_{M:M} c)$, there exists an element $b \in L$ such that $c < b$ and $N \leq (0_{M:M} b)$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $N < (0_{M:M} c)$ where $N \in M, c \in L$. Since M is a comultiplication module, we have $N = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N))$. Let $b = c \vee (0_{M:L} N)$. Since $N = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N)) < (0_{M:M} c)$, it follows that $(0_{M:L} N) \not\leq c$. Hence $c < b$ and we have $(0_{M:M} b) = (0_{M:M} c) \wedge (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N)) = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N)) = N$. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Clear.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that M is not a comultiplication module. It is clear that $1_M = (0_{M:M} 0_L)$. There exists $N < 1_M$ such that $N \neq (0_{M:M} c)$ for all $c \in L$. Suppose that $\Omega = \{c \in L : N < (0_{M:M} c)\}$. Since $0_L \in \Omega$, we have $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{c_i\}$ be a chain in Ω . Since $N < (0_{M:M} c_i)$, we have $c_i N = 0_M$ and so $(\bigvee c_i) N = \bigvee (c_i N) = 0_M$. Therefore $N \leq (0_{M:M} \bigvee c_i)$. But $N < (0_{M:M} \bigvee c_i)$ from above. Therefore, $\bigvee c_i \in \Omega$. There exists a maximal element of Ω by Zorn's Lemma. Let c be a maximal element of Ω . Since $N < (0_{M:M} c)$, there exists $b > c$ such that $N \leq (0_{M:M} b)$ by (iii). Since $N \neq (0_{M:M} b)$, we have $b \in \Omega$. Since $b > c$, this is a contradiction.

Definition 6. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module. An element $0_M \neq N \in M$ is said to be second element in M , if for each $a \in L, aN = N$ or $aN = 0_M$.

Proposition 10. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module. If $(0_{M:L} N) = p$ is prime in L for $N \in M$, then N is second element in M .

Proof: Let $p = (0_{M:L} N)$ be prime element of L for $N \in M$. If $aN \neq 0_M$ for $a \in L$, then $0_M \neq K = aN \leq N$. Suppose that $0_M \neq K = aN < N = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N)) = (0_{M:M} p)$. By Theorem 8 (ii), there exists an element $b \in L$ such that $p < b$ and $K = aN = (0_{M:M} b)$. It follows that $baN = 0_M$, and so $ba \leq p = (0_{M:L} N)$. Since p is prime and $b \not\leq p$, we have $a \leq p$ and so $aN = 0_M$. This is a contradiction. Consequently, $K = aN = N$.

Corollary 9. Let M be a comultiplication lattice L -module and $N \in M$. Then the followings are equivalent.

- i. N is a second element in M .
- ii. $(0_{M:L} N)$ is a prime element in L .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $N \in M$ is a second element. Let $p = (0_M :_M N)$. Suppose that $ab \leq p$ and $b \not\leq p$. Since $b \not\leq p$, we have $bN \neq 0_M$. Since N is a second element, we have $bN = N$ and so $0_M = (ab)N = a(bN) = aN$. Therefore, $a \leq p = (0_M :_L N)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Proposition 10.

Proposition 11. Let M be a nonzero comultiplication PG -lattice L -module.

i. Let $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of elements of a module M with $\bigwedge M_\lambda = 0_M$. Then $N = \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (N \vee M_\lambda)$ for every $N \in M$.

ii. Let p be a minimal element in L and $(0_M :_M p) = 0_M$. Then M is simple.

Proof: i. Let $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of elements of a module M with $\bigwedge M_\lambda = 0_M$. Therefore, $N = (0_M :_M (0_M :_L N)) = (\bigwedge M_\lambda :_M (0_M :_L N)) = \bigwedge (M_\lambda :_M (0_M :_L N))$ and $M_\lambda \leq (M_\lambda :_M (0_M :_L N))$ and $N \leq (M_\lambda :_M (0_M :_L N))$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore, $N = \bigwedge (M_\lambda :_M (0_M :_L N)) \geq \bigwedge (M_\lambda \vee N) \geq N$.

ii. Let $0_M \neq X \in M$ be a principal element and let p be a minimal element in L such that $(0_M :_M p) = 0_M$. There exists $a \in L$ such that $X = (0_M :_M a)$. Then $X = (0_M :_M a) = ((0_M :_M p) :_M a) = (0_M :_M ap)$. Since p is minimal, we have $0_L \leq ap \leq p$ and so $ap = 0_L$ or $ap = p$. If $ap = p$, $X = (0_M :_M ap) = (0_M :_M p) = 0_M$. This is a contradiction. Hence $ap = 0_L$. Therefore, $X = (0_M :_M ap) = 1_M$ is principal. Consequently, M is cyclic. Since $M = \{0_M, 1_M\}$, M is simple.

Let L be a multiplicative lattice. An element $a \in L$ is called zero-divisor if there exists an element $0_L \neq b \in L$ such that $ab = 0_L$. L is said to be a domain if it has only zero-divisor 0_L . Note that $Z(L)$ denote the set of zero divisors of L .

Lemma 2. Let M be a faithful comultiplication L -module. Then $W(M) = \{a \in L : a1_M < 1_M\} = Z(L)$.

Proof: Let $a \in W(M)$. Then $a1_M < 1_M$. Since M is comultiplication, $a1_M = (0_M :_M (0_M :_L a1_M))$. It is clear that $(0_M :_L a1_M) \neq 0_L$ and $(0_M :_L a1_M)a1_M = 0_M$. Since M is faithful, $(0_M :_L a1_M)a = 0_L$. We have $a \in Z(L)$. Conversely, let $a \in Z(L)$. There exists $0_L \neq b \in L$ such that $ab = 0_L$. Therefore, $(ab)1_M = b(a1_M) = 0_M \Rightarrow a1_M \leq (0_M :_M b) \neq 1_M$. Indeed, if $(0_M :_M b) = 1_M$, then $b1_M = 0_M$. Since M is faithful, we have $b = 0_L$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $a1_M \neq 1_M$ and so $a \in W(M)$.

Definition 7. (Nakkar and Anderson, 1988) Let M be an L -module. An element $N < 1_M$ in M is said

to be prime, if $aX \leq N$ implies $X \leq N$ or $a1_M \leq N$ i.e. $a \leq (N :_L 1_M)$ for every $a \in L, X \in M$.

Definition 8. Let M be an L -module. M is said to be prime L -module if 0_M is prime element of M .

It is clear that 0_M is prime element in M if and only if $(0_M :_L 1_M) = (0_M :_L N)$ for all $0_M \neq N \in M$.

Definition 9. Let M be an L -module. M is said to be coprime L -module if $(0_M :_L 1_M) = (N :_L 1_M)$ for all $N \in M$.

Proposition 12. Let M be a L -module.

i. Let M be a comultiplication prime L -module. Then M is a simple L -module.

ii. If M is a multiplication coprime L -module, then M is a simple module.

iii. Let L be a domain and let M be a faithful multiplication and comultiplication L -module. Then M is simple.

Proof: i. Let $0_M \neq N \in M$. Since M is a prime L -module, we have $(0_M :_L 1_M) = (0_M :_L N)$ for all $0_M \neq N \in M$. Then $N = (0_M :_M (0_M :_L N)) = (0_M :_M (0_M :_L 1_M)) = 1_M$. Hence M is a simple.

ii. Let $N < 1_M$. Since M is coprime L -module, we have $(0_M :_L 1_M) = (N :_L 1_M)$. Since M is a multiplication L -module, $N = (N :_L 1_M)1_M = (0_M :_L 1_M)1_M = 0_M$. Therefore, M is a simple L -module.

iii. Let $N \in M$. Therefore, $N = (0_M :_M a)$ and $N = b1_M$ for some $a, b \in L$. So, $aN = ab1_M = 0_M$. Since M is faithful, $ab = 0_L$. Then $a = 0_L$ or $b = 0_L$ as L is a domain. Hence $N = 1_M$ or $N = 0_M$.

Definition 10.

i. Let M be a PG -lattice L -module. M is called a torsion module if $Ann(X) = (0_M :_L X) \neq 0_L$ for all principal elements $X \in M$.

ii. Let M be an L -module. M is called a domain if $Ann(N) = 0_L$ for all $0_M \neq N \in M$.

Theorem 9. If M is a comultiplication PG -lattice L -module, then M is cyclic or torsion.

Proof: Let M be a comultiplication PG -lattice module. Suppose that M is not a torsion L -module. Thus there exists a principal element $X \in M$ such that $(0_M :_L X) = 0_L$. Then $X = (0_M :_M (0_M :_L X)) = 1_M$. Hence, M is cyclic.

Corollary 7. Let M a faithful comultiplication PG -lattice L -module and 1_M compact. If L is domain, then M is cyclic.

Proof: Assume that M is not cyclic. Then, M is

torsion. Hence $(0_{M:L} X) \neq 0_L$ for all principal X . Since 1_M is compact, $1_M = \bigvee X_i$ implies that $1_M = \bigvee_{i=1}^n X_i$ for some principal elements X_i . Therefore, $0_L = (0_{M:L} 1_M) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (0_{M:L} X_i) \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (0_{M:L} X_i) \neq 0_L$. This is a contradiction.

Proposition 13. Let L be a comultiplication PG -lattice and M be a faithful PG -lattice L -module. Then for each $a \in L$, with $a < 1_L$, $(0_{M:M} a) \neq 0_M$ and $a1_M < 1_M$.

Proof: Let $a \in L$, with $a < 1_L$. Suppose that $(0_{M:M} a) = 0_M$. Then $(0_{L:L} a)1_M = 0_M$, for if $(0_{L:L} a)1_M \neq 0_M$, there exists a principal element $x \in L$ such that $x \leq (0_{L:L} a)$ and a principal element $Y \in M$ such that $xY \neq 0_M$. Since $ax = 0_L$, we have $axY = 0_M$. Then $xY \leq (0_{M:M} a) = 0_M$. This is a contradiction. Since $(0_{L:L} a)1_M = 0_M$, it follows that $(0_{L:L} a) \leq \text{Ann}_L(M) = 0_L$. Since L is a comultiplication lattice, $a = (0_{L:L} (0_{L:L} a)) = 1_L$. This is a contradiction. Now suppose that $a1_M = 1_M$. Therefore $(0_{L:L} a) = (0_{L:L} 1_M) = 0_L$. Since L is a comultiplication lattice, $a = 1_L$. This is a contradiction.

Definition 11. Let M be an L -module and N a non-zero element of M . Then N is said to be large if for every element K in M such that $N \wedge K = 0_M$ implies $K = 0_M$.

Definition 12. Let M be an L -module and N be a proper element of M . Then N is said to be small element if for every element K in M such that $N \vee K = 1_M$ implies that $K = 1_M$.

Proposition 14. Let M be a faithful comultiplication PG -lattice L -module with 1_M compact. Then every non-zero element of M is large if and only if every element $a \in L$, with $a < 1_L$ is small.

Proof: \Rightarrow : Suppose that every non-zero element of M is large and let $a \in L$, $a < 1_L$ such that $a \vee b = 1_L$ for some $0_L \neq b \in L$. Then $0_M = (0_{M:M} a \vee b) = (0_{M:M} a) \wedge (0_{M:M} b)$. Since $a < 1_L$ we have $(0_{M:M} a) \neq 0_M$ by Theorem 3. We know that $(0_{M:M} a)$ is large. Hence $(0_{M:M} b) = 0_M$. Therefore, we obtain $b = 1_L$. \Leftarrow : Suppose that $N \in M$ such that $K \wedge N = 0_M$ where $0_M \neq K \in M$. Since M is a comultiplication L -module, $K = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} K))$ and $N = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} N))$. Then $0_M = K \wedge N = (0_{M:M} (0_{M:L} K) \vee (0_{M:L} N))$ and so $(0_{M:L} K) \vee (0_{M:L} N) = 1_L$. Since $0_M \neq K$, we have $(0_{M:L} K) \neq 1_L$. Since $(0_{M:L} K)$ is small, it follows that $(0_{M:L} N) = 1_L$ and so $N = 0_M$.

Proposition 15. Let M be a faithful comultiplication PG -lattice L -module with 1_M compact. Then $N \in M$ is large if and only if there exists a small element $a \in L$ such that $N = (0_{M:M} a)$.

Proof: \Rightarrow : Suppose that $N \in M$ is large. Since M is a comultiplication L -module, $N = (0_{M:M} a)$. Suppose $a \vee b = 1_L$ for some $0_L \neq b \in L$. Then $N \wedge (0_{M:M} b) = (0_{M:M} a) \wedge (0_{M:M} b) = (0_{M:M} a \vee b) = 0_M$. Since N is large, we have $(0_{M:M} b) = 0_M$, hence by Theorem 3, we have $b = 1_L$. So a is small.

\Leftarrow : Suppose that $a \in L$ be a small element of L . Let $N = (0_{M:M} a)$. Assume that $K \in M$ such that $N \wedge K = 0_M$. Since M is a comultiplication L -module, there exists $b \in L$ such that $K = (0_{M:M} b)$. Then $0_M = N \wedge K = (0_{M:M} a) \wedge (0_{M:M} b) = (0_{M:M} a \vee b)$ and so $a \vee b = 1_L$ by Theorem 3. Therefore $b = 1_L$. Hence $K = (0_{M:M} b) = 0_M$. Consequently, N is large.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referee for his valuable and suggestions.

References

- Al-Khouja, E. A. (2003). Maximal Elements and Prime elements in Lattice Modules. *Damascus University for Basic Sciences*, 19(2), 9–20.
- Ansari-Toroghy, H., & Farshadifar, F. (2007). the dual notion of multiplication modules. *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 11(4), 1189–1201.
- Ansari-Toroghy, H., & Farshadifar, F. (2008). Comultiplication Modules and Related Results. *Honam Math. J.*, (1)30, 91-99.
- Ansari-Toroghy, H., & Farshadifar, F. (2008). On Comultiplication Modules. *Korean Ann. Math.*, 25(2), 57–66.
- Ansari-Toroghy, H., & Farshadifar, F. (2011). On Multiplication and Comultiplication Modules. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 31(2), 694–700.
- Callialp, F., & Tekir, U. (2011). Multiplication Lattice Modules. *Iranian Journal of Science & Technology*, A4, 309–313.
- Jayaram, C., & Johnson, E. W. (1995). Some Results on Almost Principal Element Lattices. *Period. Math. Hungar*, 31, 33–42.
- Jayaram, C., & Johnson, E. W. (1995). s –Prime Elements in Multiplicative Lattices. *Period. Math. Hungar*, 31, 201–208.
- Jayaram, C., & Johnson, E. W. (1997). Dedekind Lattice. *Acta. Sci. Math.*, (Szeged), 63, 367–378.
- Jayaram, C., & Johnson, E. W. (1997). Strong Compact Elements in Multiplicative Lattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 47(122), 105–112.
- Jayaram, C., & Johnson, E. W. (1998). σ –Elements in Multiplicative Lattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*

- 48(123), 641–651.
- Johnson, E. W., & Johnson, J. A. (2003). Lattice Modules over Principal Element Domains. *Comm. In. Algebra*, 31(7), 3505–3518.
- Jayaram, C. (2002). l –Prime Elements in Multiplicative Lattices. *Algebra Universalis*, 48, 117–127.
- Jayaram, C. (2003). Laskerian Lattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 53(128), 351–363.
- Jayaram, C. (2004). Almost π –Lattices. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 54(129), 119–130.
- Nakkar, H. M., & Al-Khouja, I. A. (1985). Nakayama’s Lemma and The Principal Elements in Lattice Modules over Multiplicative Lattices. *R. J. of Aleppo University*, 7, 1–16.
- Nakkar, H. M., & Al-Khouja, I. A. (1989). Multiplication Elements and Distributive and Supporting Elements in Lattice Modules. *R. J. of Aleppo University*, 11, 91–110.
- Nakkar, H. M., & Anderson, D. D. (1988). Associates and Weakly Associated Prime Elements and Primary Decomposition in Lattice Modules. *Algebra Universalis*, 25, 196–209.
- Nakkar, H. M. (1974). Localization in Multiplicative Lattice Modules. *Mat. Issled.* 2(32), 88–108.
- Scott Culhan, D. (2005). Associated Primes and Primal Decomposition in Modules and Lattice Modules, and their duals, Thesis, University of California Riverside.
- Sevim, E. S. (2013). Radical Operations on The Multiplicative Lattice. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 37, 739–746.
- Shaniafi, Y., & Smith, P. F. (2011). Comultiplication Modules over Commutative Rings. *J. Commut. Algebra*, 3(1), 1–29.