

REAL GROUP ALGEBRAS*

A. EBADIAN^{1,2} AND A. R. MEDGHALCHI^{1**}

¹Faculty of Mathematics, Teacher Training University, Tehran, I. R. of Iran, 15614

²Current address: Department of Mathematics, Urmia University, I. R. of Iran
a.ebadian@mail.urmia.ac.ir, medghalchi@saba.tmu.ac.ir

Abstract – In this paper we initiate the study of real group algebras and investigate some of its aspects. Let $L^1(G)$ be a group algebra of a locally compact group G , $\tau: G \rightarrow G$ be a group homeomorphism such that $\tau^2 = \tau\tau = 1$, the identity map, and $L^p(G, \tau) = \{f \in L^p(G) : f \circ \tau = \overline{f}\}$ ($p \geq 1$). In this paper, among other results, we clarify the structure of $L^p(G, \tau)$ and characterize amenability of $L^1(G, \tau)$ and identify its multipliers.

Keywords – Real Banach algebra, amenability, multiplier, derivation, group involution

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Ingelstam [1] introduced the theory of real Banach algebras. The real function algebra theory was developed further by Kulkarni and Limaye [2]. In their excellent monograph, “Real function algebras”, Kulkarni and Limaye present interesting aspects of the theory of $C(X, \tau)$. We refer to [3] for our notations.

Let G be a locally compact group. An automorphism $\tau: G \rightarrow G$ is called a topological group involution on G if τ is a homeomorphism and $\tau(\tau(x)) = x$ for all $x \in G$. For example, in group $(C, +)\tau(z) = \bar{z}$ and in $(R \setminus \{0\}, \cdot), \tau(x) = x^{-1}$ are topological group involutions. Note that we do not assume that $\tau(xy) = \tau(y)\tau(x)$.

Let $C_o(G, \tau) = \{f \in C_o(G) : f \circ \tau(x) = \overline{f(x)}, x \in G\}$, and $C_c(G, \tau) = \{f \in C_c(G) : f \circ \tau(x) = \overline{f(x)}, x \in G\}$ it is clear that, if τ is the identity map on G , then $C_o(G, \tau) = C_o(G), C_c(G, \tau) = C_c(G)$. If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define $f \circ \tau(x) = \overline{f(x)}$, for all $x \in G$. Clearly, $L^p(G, \tau) \subseteq L^p(G)$ and if τ is the identity map, $L^p(G, \tau)$ consists of real functions.

2. THE STRUCTURE OF $L^1(G, \tau)$ AND $M(G, \tau)$

Lemma 2. 1. Let G be a locally compact group and τ be a topological group involution on G . If $\sigma: C_c(G) \rightarrow C_c(G)$ is defined by $\sigma(f) = f \circ \tau$, then (i) σ is an algebra involution on $C_c(G)$ and $C_c(G, \tau) = \{f \in C_c(G) \mid \sigma(f) = f\}$,

$$(ii) C_c(G) = C_c(G, \tau) \oplus iC_c(G, \tau).$$

*Received by the editor May 14, 2002 and in final revised form January 26, 2004

**Corresponding author

Proof. (i) We must show that whenever $f \in C_c(G)$, then $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in C_c(G)$. To do this, we have $\text{supp}(\bar{f} \circ \tau) = \text{cl}([\bar{f} \circ \tau]^{-1}\{0\}) \subseteq \tau^{-1}(\text{supp } \bar{f})$.

It follows that $\text{supp}(\bar{f} \circ \tau)$ is compact, i.e., $(\bar{f} \circ \tau) \in C_c(G)$. Hence, $\text{supp}(\bar{f} \circ \tau)$ is compact, i.e., $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in C_c(G)$. The rest of (i) is clear.

(ii) Clearly, $f = \frac{f + \sigma(f)}{2} + i \frac{f - \sigma(f)}{2i}$. Since $\sigma^2 = i$, (=identity) $\sigma(\frac{f + \sigma(f)}{2}) = \frac{f + \sigma(f)}{2}$ and $\sigma(\frac{f - \sigma(f)}{2i}) = \frac{f - \sigma(f)}{2i}$. It follows that $f = g + ih$ where $g, h \in C_c(G, \tau)$.

Now if $f = g + ih = g_1 + ih_1$, then $g = \frac{f + \sigma(f)}{2}$, i.e., $g = g_1$ and thus $h = h_1$.

Note. By the same argument one can conclude that $C_0(G) = C_0(G, \tau) \oplus iC_0(G, \tau)$. In fact it is enough to show that $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in C_0(G)$ whenever $f \in C_0(G)$. Since $f \in C_0(G)$, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a compact set F in G such that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $x \in F'$. Clearly, $\tau^{-1}(F)$ is compact, and if $x \notin \tau^{-1}(F)$, then $\tau(x) \notin F$, i.e., $|\bar{f} \circ \tau(x)| < \varepsilon$. Therefore, $\bar{f} \circ \tau \in C_0(G)$.

Let $M(G)$ be the Banach space of all complex regular Borel measures on G . For each $\mu \in M(G)$, we define $\mu_\tau = \mu \circ \tau$, then it is clear that $\mu_\tau \in M(G)$. Also by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem one can show that for every bounded Borel measurable function h on G ,

$$\int_G h d\mu_\tau = \int_G (h \circ \tau) d\mu. \quad (1)$$

Clearly, (1) is true when h is a characteristic function; by linearity it holds when h is a simple function; by continuity (1) holds when h is integrable.

Proposition 2. 2. Let $M(G, \tau) = \{\mu \in M(G) \mid \mu \circ \tau = \bar{\mu}\}$. Then $M(G, \tau)$ is a real Banach algebra with the convolution product $\mu * \nu(E) = \int_G \nu(x^{-1}E) d\mu(x) = \int_G \mu(Ey^{-1}) d\nu(y)$ ($\mu, \nu \in M(G, \tau)$) and $M(G) = M(G, \tau) \oplus iM(G, \tau)$.

Proof. Let $\mu, \nu \in M(G, \tau)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu * \nu) \circ \tau(E) &= \int_G \nu(x^{-1}\tau(E)) d\mu(x) = \int_G \nu(\tau(\tau(x)^{-1}E)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G \overline{\nu((\tau(x)^{-1})E)} d\mu(x) = \int_G \overline{\nu(x^{-1}E)} d\mu \circ \tau \\ &= \overline{\mu * \nu(E)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Therefore $\mu * \nu \in M(G, \tau)$. The rest of the proof follows the same line as the proof of Lemma 2.1. Therefore, it is omitted.

Remark. For a real linear space A , the real dual space of A , that is, the space of all real-valued continuous linear functional on A will be denoted by A^* .

Proposition 2. 3. Every real-valued continuous functional ϕ on $C_0(G, \tau)$ can be represented as $\phi(f) = \int_G f d\mu$, where μ is the unique measure in $M(G, \tau)$ such that $\|\psi\| = \|\mu\|$ and vice versa.

Proof. Let $f \in C_0(G, \tau)$. Then $f = g + ih$ where $g, h \in C_0(G, \tau)$. If we define $\psi(f) = \phi(g) + i\phi(h)$, then clearly $\psi \in C_0(G)^*$ and so by the Riesz representation theorem ([3,

theorem (14.4)), there exists a unique measure μ in $M(G)$ such that $\psi(f) = \int_G f d\mu (f \in C_0(G))$ and $\|\psi\| = \|\mu\|$. It follows that $\phi(h) = \int_G h d\mu$ for every h in $C_0(G, \tau)$. Now, in order to prove that $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$, we have $\overline{\psi}(\sigma(f)) = \overline{\psi}(g - ih) = \phi(g) - i\phi(h) = \psi(f)$. Therefore,

$$\int_G f d\psi = \int_G \overline{\sigma(f)} d\mu = \int_G \overline{\sigma(f)} d\bar{\mu} = \int_G f d\bar{\mu} \circ \tau \tag{3}$$

($f \in C_0(G)$). Thus, $\mu = \bar{\mu} \circ \tau$, i.e. $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$. Also, similar to the proof of [6, Theorem 3.2.1] we can show that $\|\psi\| = \|\mu\|$.

Conversely, let $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$ and $\phi(f) = \int_G f d\mu (f \in C_0(G, \tau))$. If $f \in C_0(G, \tau)$, then $\sigma(f) = f$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}(f) &= \bar{\phi}(\sigma(f)) = \int_G \overline{\sigma(f)} d\mu = \int_G \overline{\sigma(f)} d\bar{\mu} = \int_G (f \circ \tau) d\bar{\mu} \\ &= \int_G f d\bar{\mu} \circ \tau = \int_G f d\mu = \phi(f). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Thus $\phi(f)$ is real.

Theorem 2. 4. Let G be a locally compact group with the left Haar measure λ and τ be a topological group involution on G . Then $\lambda \circ \tau = \lambda$.

Proof. It is easy to show that $\lambda \circ \tau$ is a positive measure on G . Also if B is a Borel set, then $\lambda \circ \tau(xB) = \lambda(\tau(xB)) = \lambda(\tau(x)\tau(B)) = \lambda(\tau(B)) = \lambda \circ \tau(B) (x \in G)$. Therefore, $\lambda \circ \tau$ is left invariant. So, there is a positive number c such that $\lambda \circ \tau(B) = c\lambda(B)$ for every Borel set B . If U is an open set, then $\lambda \circ \tau(\tau(U)) = c\lambda(\tau(U))$, i.e., $\lambda(U) = c\lambda(\tau(U))$ which is equal to $c^2\lambda(U)$. Therefore, for every open set U we have $\lambda(U) = c^2\lambda(U)$. So, $c = 1$. Hence, $\lambda \circ \tau = \lambda$.

For a locally compact group G and the Haar measure λ we defined $L^p(G, \tau) = \{f \in L^p(G) \mid f \circ \tau = \bar{f}\} (1 \leq p \leq \infty)$. Clearly $L^p(G, \tau) \subseteq L^p(G)$, $L^p(G, \tau)$ is a real algebra and $L^p(G) = L^p(G, \tau) \oplus iL^p(G, \tau)$.

Theorem 2. 5. (a) For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L^p(G, \tau)$ is a real Banach space, and $L^2(G, \tau)$ is a real Hilbert space with an inner product,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_G \bar{g} d\lambda. \tag{5}$$

(b) For each $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$, $\max\{\|f\|_p, \|g\|_p\} \leq \|f + ig\| \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$.

(c) $L^1(G, \tau)^* = L^\infty(G, \tau)$.

(d) $L^1(G, \tau)$ has a bounded approximate identity of norm 1.

Proof. (a). Clearly, $L^p(G, \tau)$ is a real subspace of $L^p(G)$. Let $f, g \in L^p(G, \tau)$ then $f * g \in L^p(G)$, [4]. We will show that $f * g \in L^p(G, \tau)$. In order to do this, by (2.4) and (1) we have

$$(f * g)(\tau(x)) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}\tau(x))d\lambda(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_G f(\tau(\tau(y)))g(\tau(\tau(y))^{-1}x)d\lambda(y) \\
&= \int_G \overline{f(\tau(y))g(\tau(y^{-1})x)}d\lambda(y) \\
&= \int_G \overline{f(y)g(y^{-1}x)}d\lambda \circ \tau(y) \\
&= \int_G \overline{f(y)g(y^{-1}x)}d\lambda(y) = \overline{(f * g)}(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for every $x \in G$, hence $f * g \in L^p(G, \tau)$. We now prove that $L^p(G, \tau)$ is complete. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(G, \tau)$. Since $L^p(G)$ is complete, there exists $f \in L^p(G)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0$. Now, there exists a subsequence of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ as $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(x) = f(x)$, λ -almost everywhere, and so $f(\tau(x)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(\tau(x)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}_{n_k}(x) = \bar{f}(x)$, λ -almost everywhere. Therefore, $f \in L^p(G, \tau)$. Hence $L^p(G, \tau)$ is a real Banach algebra and not a complex algebra.

If $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_G f\bar{g}d\lambda$ for every $f, g \in L^2(G, \tau)$, then $\langle f, g \rangle = \overline{\langle f, g \rangle}$. Therefore $L^2(G, \tau)$ is a real Hilbert space.

(b) For $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$ we have $\|f\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|(f + ig)\|_p + \|(f - ig)\|_p) = \|f + ig\|_p$. Similarly, $\|g\|_p \leq \|f + ig\|_p$.

(c) We know that $L^1(G)^* \cong L^\infty(G)$. Let $f \in L^1(G)$. So $f = g + ih$ where $g, h \in L^1(G, \tau)$. Now, we define $\psi(f) = \phi(f) + i\phi(g)$ where $\phi \in L^1(G, \tau)^*$. It is clear that $\psi \in L^1(G)^*$ and therefore, there exists a unique $p \in L^\infty(G)$ such that $\psi(f) = \int_G f p d\lambda$ ($f \in L^1(G)$).

Hence we have,

$$\overline{\psi(\sigma(f))} = \overline{\psi(g - ih)} = \overline{\phi(g) - i\phi(h)} = \psi(f). \tag{7} (*)$$

This implies that

$$\int_G f p d\lambda = \overline{\int_G \sigma(f) p d\lambda} = \int_G (f \circ \tau) \bar{p} d\lambda = \int_G f \bar{p} \circ \tau d\lambda \quad (f \in L^1(G)). \tag{8}$$

Therefore, $p \circ \tau = \bar{p}$, i.e., $p \in L^\infty(G, \tau)$. Also, we have $\phi(f) = \int_G f p d\lambda$ for every $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$ and by (*) $\phi(f)$ is real. Conversely, if $\phi: L^1(G, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\phi(f) = \int_G f p$ where $p \in L^\infty(G, \tau)$ and f is an arbitrary function, then $\phi \in L^1(G, \tau)^*$ and the proof is complete.

(d) Let U be any compact neighborhood of e and (U_α) be the collection of all compact neighborhoods of e in U , which is directed by a set inclusion ($\alpha \leq \beta$ if and only if $U_\alpha \supseteq U_\beta$). If we define $f_\alpha = \frac{\chi_{U_\alpha}}{\lambda(U_\alpha)}$ and $g_\alpha = \frac{\chi_{\tau(U_\alpha)}}{\lambda(U_\alpha)} = \frac{\chi_{U_\alpha} \circ \tau}{\lambda(\tau(U_\alpha))}$, then, since τ is a homeomorphism, $\{f_\alpha\}$ and $\{g_\alpha\}$ are bounded approximate identities of norm one for $L^1(G)$. If we define $e_\alpha = \frac{f_\alpha + g_\alpha}{2}$, then $\{e_\alpha\}$ is a bounded approximate identity of norm one for $L^1(G)$, and also for $L^1(G, \tau)$ since $e_\alpha \in L^1(G, \tau)$.

Lemma 2. 6. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the linear space $C_c(G, \tau)$ is a dense subspace of $L^p(G, \tau)$.

Proof. Suppose that $f \in L^p(G, \tau)$, since $C_c(G)$ is a dense subspace of $L^p(G)$, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $C_c(G)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0$. Let $g_n = \frac{f_n + \tilde{f}_n \circ \tau}{2}$. Then $g_n \in C_c(G, \tau)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n - (\frac{f + \tilde{f} \circ \tau}{2})\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n - f\|_p = 0$.

Theorem 2. 7. For $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$ and $\psi \in L^2(G, \tau)$, let $T_\mu \psi = \mu * \psi$. Each T_μ is a bounded operator on the real Hilbert space $L^2(G, \tau)$, and the mapping $\mu \rightarrow T_\mu$ is a faithful $*$ -representation of $M(G, \tau)$. Note that $M(G, \tau)$ is a $*$ -Banach algebra.

Proof. The linearity of T_μ on $L^2(G, \tau)$ is obvious, and the boundedness of T_μ , with $\|T_\mu\| \leq \|\mu\|$, follows from [3,(20.12.ii)]. For $\psi \in L^1(G, \tau) \cap L^2(G, \tau)$, we have

$$(\mu * \nu) * \psi = \mu * (\nu * \psi) \tag{9}$$

[2, (19.2.iv)]. Thus $T_{\mu * \nu}(\psi) = T_\mu(T_\nu \psi)$ for all $\psi \in L^1(G, \tau) \cap L^2(G, \tau)$. Since $C_c(G, \tau) \subseteq L^1(G, \tau) \cap L^2(G, \tau)$, by Lemma (2.7), $L^1(G, \tau) \cap L^2(G, \tau)$ is dense in $L^2(G, \tau)$. It follows that $T_{\mu * \nu} = T_\mu T_\nu$. To show that $T_\mu \neq 0$ if $\mu \neq 0$, consider an $f \in C_c(G, \tau)$ such that $\int_G f^* d\mu \neq 0$. Since $\mu * f(e) = \int_G f^* d\mu \neq 0$ and $\mu * f$ is continuous; thus $T_\mu f$ is not a zero element of $L^2(G, \tau)$. Note that f^* is the involution of f .

3. AMENABILITY AND WEAK AMENABILITY OF REAL GROUP ALGEBRAS

In this section, we show that amenability of $L^1(G, \tau)$ and $L^1(G)$ are equivalent. We shall use some notions of [1].

Definition 3. 1. A Banach algebra A over F is called amenable if for every Banach A -module X over F , $H^1(A, X^*) = \{0\}$.

Let A be a Banach algebra over F , and X be a Banach A -module over F . If $F = R$, we say that X is a real Banach A -module for the real Banach algebra A . If $F = C$, we say X is a Banach A -module for the Banach algebra A .

Definition 3. 2. Let X be a real Banach space. Then $BL_R(X, C)$, consists of all complex-valued continuous real-linear functional on X , which is a real Banach space, denoted by X' and called the complex dual of X .

If A is a real Banach algebra and X is a real Banach A -module, then X' with the natural module action is also a real Banach A -module.

Note that in this case X' is isomorphic to $X^* \times X^*$.

Lemma 3. 3. Let G be a locally compact group and let τ be a topological involution on G . Suppose X is a real Banach $L^1(G, \tau)$ -module. Then $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = \{0\}$ if and only if $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) = \{0\}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) \oplus iZ^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$. Now, let $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) = \{0\}$ and let $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X')$. There exist elements a and b in X^* such that $D = \delta_a + i\delta_b$. If $c = a + ib$, then $c \in X'$ and $d = \delta_c$. Hence $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = \{0\}$.

Conversely, we assume that $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = \{0\}$ and let $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$. By the assumption $D \in B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X')$. Clearly, $B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X') = B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) \oplus iB^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$.

Hence there exist unique elements D_1, D_2 in $B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$ such that $D = D_1 + iD_2$. On the other hand, $D = D + i0$ where $D, 0 \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$. Therefore, we have $D_1 = D$ and $D_2 = 0$. Hence $D \in B^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*)$ and so $H^1(L^1(G, \tau), X^*) = \{0\}$.

Lemma 3. 4. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space and $X \times X$ be the (complex) linear space under the standard operations of addition and scalar multiplication. If we equip $X \times X$ by the norm $\| \cdot \|$, which satisfies the inequalities

$$\max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} \leq C_1 \| \cdot \| \quad (10)$$

and

$$\| \cdot \| (x, y) \| \leq C_2 \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}, \quad (11)$$

for constants C_1 and C_2 , then

- (i) $(X \times X, \| \cdot \|)$ is a Banach space
- (ii) The map $\eta: X \rightarrow X \times X$, defined by $\eta(x) = (x, 0)$, is a real-linear continuous mapping.
- (iii) The map $\psi: X' \rightarrow (X \times X)^*$, defined by $\psi(\lambda)(x, y) = \lambda(x) + i\lambda(y)$, is a real-linear continuous mapping onto the real Banach space $(X \times X)^*$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are clear. (iii) ψ is a well-defined real-linear mapping. For each $\lambda \in X'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(\lambda)\| &= \sup\{|\psi(x, y)| : \| (x, y) \| \leq 1, x, y \in X\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\lambda(x)| + |\lambda(y)| : \|x\| \leq C_1, \|y\| \leq C_1, x, y \in X\} \\ &\leq 2C_1 \|\lambda\|. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Hence ψ is continuous. On the other hand, for each $\lambda \in X'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(\lambda)\| &= \sup\{|\psi(\lambda)(x, 0)| : x \in X, \| (x, 0) \| \leq 1\} \\ &\geq \{|\lambda(x)| : x \in X, C_2 \|x\| \leq 1\} = C_2^{-1} \|\lambda\|. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Hence ψ is one-to-one. To show that ψ is onto, let $\Lambda \in (X \times X)^*$. Then $\Lambda \circ \eta \in X'$ and $\psi(\Lambda \circ \eta) = \Lambda$.

Theorem 3. 5 Let G be a locally compact group and τ be a topological involution on G . Then $L^1(G, \tau)$ is amenable if and only if $L^1(G)$ is amenable.

Proof. Let $L^1(G, \tau)$ be amenable, X be a Banach $L^1(G)$ -module and $\Delta \in Z^1(L^1(G), X^*)$. If X_R represents X as a real Banach space then it is a real Banach $L^1(G, \tau)$ -module under the module actions defined by

$$f.x = (f + i0).x, \quad x.f = x.(f + i0), (f \in L^1(G, \tau), x \in X) \quad (14)$$

Now we define the map $D : L^1(G, \tau) \rightarrow X_R^*$ by $Df = \text{Re} \Delta(f + i0)$. Clearly D is a real-linear mapping and since for each $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$

$$\|Df\| \leq \sup \{ \|\Delta(f + i0)(x)\| : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1 \} \leq \|\Delta\| \|f\|, \quad (15)$$

D is continuous. On the other hand, for each $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$,

$$(Df).g = \text{Re}(\Delta(f + i0).(g + i0)), f.(Dg) = \text{Re}((f + i0).\Delta(g + i0)). \quad (16)$$

Hence $D(fg) = (Df).g + f.(Dg)$ and so $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), X_R^*)$. The amenability of $L^1(G, \tau)$ implies that there exists $u \in X_R^*$ such that $D = \delta_u$. Now we define $\lambda : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $\lambda(x) = u(x) - iu(ix)$. Clearly $\lambda \in X^*$ and for $f \in L^1(G, \tau), x \in X$ we have

$$(\lambda.(f + i0))(x) = u(f.x) - iu(f.ix), ((f + i0).\lambda)(x) = u(x.f) - iu(ix.f). \quad (17)$$

We can show that $\Delta(f + ig)(x) = (\delta_\lambda(f + ig))(x)$ for every $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$ and $x \in X$. Hence $\Delta = \delta_\lambda$ and so Δ is an inner derivation, i.e. $H^1(L^1(G), X^*) = \{0\}$. Thus $L^1(G)$ is amenable.

Conversely, let $L^1(G)$ be amenable and let X be a real Banach $L^1(G, \tau)$ -module. By Lemma 3.3 it is enough to show that $H^1(L^1(G), X') = \{0\}$. Let $D : L^1(G, \tau) \rightarrow X'$ be a continuous real derivation. By Lemma 3.4, $X \times X$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$. The map $\psi : X' \rightarrow (X \times X)^*$, defined by $\psi(\lambda)(x, y) = \lambda(x) + i\lambda(y)$ ($x, y \in X, \lambda \in X'$) is a continuous real-linear mapping which is one-one and onto. The space $X \times X$ is a Banach $L^1(G)$ -module under the familiar module actions. Now we define the map $\Delta : L^1(G) \rightarrow (X \times X)^*$ by $\Delta(f + ig) = \psi(Df) + i\psi(Dg)$. Clearly Δ is a complex linear mapping and for $f, g \in L^1(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(f + ig)\| &\leq \|\psi\| \|D\| \|f\|_1 + \|\psi\| \|D\| \|g\|_1 \leq 2 \|\psi\| \|D\| \max\{\|f\|_1, \|g\|_1\} \\ &\leq 2 \|\psi\| \|D\| \|f + ig\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Hence Δ is continuous. Considering the module actions on $X \times X$ we can show that

$$\psi((Df).g) = \psi(Df).(g + i0) \quad (19)$$

and

$$\psi(f.(Dg)) = (f + i0).\psi(Dg) \quad (20)$$

Since D is a X' -derivation on $L^1(G, \tau)$, by using the above equation we have

$$\Delta((f_1 + ig_1).(f_2 + ig_2)) = (\Delta(f_1 + ig_1).(f_2 + ig_2)) + (f_1 + ig_1).(\Delta(f_2 + ig_2)).$$

Therefore, $\Delta \in Z^1(L^1(G), (X \times X)^*)$ and so there exists $\Lambda \in (X \times X)^*$ such that $\Delta = \delta_\Lambda$. Since ψ is onto and one-to-one there exists a unique $\lambda \in X'$ such that $\Lambda = \psi(\lambda)$.

Now we notice that $\psi(f.\eta) = (f + i0).\psi(\eta)$ and $\psi(\eta.f) = \psi(\eta).(f + i0)$ for every $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$ and $\eta \in X'$. Hence

$$\psi(Df) = \psi(Df) + i\psi(D0) = \Delta(f + i0) = \delta_\Lambda(f + i0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f + i0).\Lambda - \Lambda.(f + i0) &= (f + i0).\psi(\lambda) - \psi(\lambda).(f + i0) \\
 &= \psi(f.\lambda) - \psi(\lambda.f) = \psi(\delta_\lambda(f)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{21}$$

Since ψ is one-to-one, it implies that $D(f) = \delta_\lambda(f)$ for each $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$ and $D = \delta_\lambda$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3. 6. Let G be a locally compact group and let τ be a topological involution on G . Then $L^1(G, \tau)$ is weakly amenable if and only if $L^1(G)$ is weakly amenable.

Proof. Let $L^1(G, \tau)$ be a weakly amenable real Banach algebra. We show that for each $\Delta \in Z^1(L^1(G), L^1(G)^*)$ there exists $\Lambda \in L^1(G)^*$ such that $\Delta = \delta_\Lambda$. Let $\eta: L^1(G, \tau) \rightarrow L^1(G)$ be defined by $\eta(f) = f + i0$ and $\psi: L^1(G, \tau)' \rightarrow L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$ be defined by $\psi(\lambda)(f + ig) = \lambda(f) + i\lambda(g)$. By Lemma 3.4, η and ψ are continuous real-linear mapping. Also, ψ is a one-to-one and onto mapping from the real Banach space $L^1(G, \tau)'$ onto $L^1(G)^*$ as a real Banach space. By the open Mapping Theorem for real Banach spaces, $\psi^{-1}: L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^* \rightarrow L^1(G, \tau)'$ is a real-linear continuous mapping. Now if we define $D = \psi^{-1} \circ \Delta \circ \eta$, then it is easy to see that D is a real-linear continuous mapping. To show that D is an $L^1(G, \tau)'$ -derivation on $L^1(G, \tau)$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(D(fg)) &= (\psi \circ D)(fg) = \Delta(fg + i0) = \Delta((f + i0).(g + i0)) \\
 &= (\Delta \circ \eta)(f).(g + i0) + (f + i0).(\Delta \circ \eta)(g) \\
 &= \psi(Df).(g + i0) + (f + i0).\psi(Dg).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{22}$$

On the other hand, $\psi(\mu)(f + i0) = \psi(\mu.f)$ and $(f + i0).\psi(\mu) = \psi(a.\mu)$ for $f \in L^1(G)$ and $\mu \in L^1(G, \tau)'$. Hence, for each $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$ we have

$$\psi(D(fg)) = \psi(Df.g) + \psi(f.Dg) = \psi(Df.g + f.Dg).
 \tag{23}$$

Since ψ is one-one, we conclude that D is an $L^1(G, \tau)'$ -derivation, i.e. $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), L^1(G, \tau)')$. By Lemma 3.3, the weak amenability of $L^1(G, \tau)$ implies that there exists $\lambda \in L^1(G, \tau)'$ such that $D = \delta_\lambda$. By definition of D and the above equalities it implies that $\Delta = \delta_{\psi(\lambda)}$, and so $L^1(G)$ is weakly amenable.

Conversely, let $L^1(G)$ be weakly amenable and $D \in Z^1(L^1(G, \tau), L^1(G, \tau)')$. By Lemma 3.4 the map $\psi: L^1(G, \tau)' \rightarrow L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$, defined by $\psi(\lambda)(f + ig) = \lambda(f) + i\lambda(g)$, is a real-linear continuous one-to-one mapping onto $L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$, as a real Banach space.

Now we define the map $\Delta: L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$ by $\Delta(f + ig) = \psi(Df) + i\psi(Dg)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4 we can show that Δ is a continuous derivation. Hence there exists $\Lambda \in L^1(G)^* \times L^1(G)^*$ such that $\Delta = \delta_\Lambda$. Since ψ is one-to-one and onto, there exists a unique $\lambda \in L^1(G, \tau)'$ such that $\Lambda = \psi(\lambda)$. It can be shown that $D = \delta_\lambda$ and so $L^1(G, \tau)$ is weakly amenable by Lemma 3.3.

Corollary 3. 7. Let G be a locally compact group and τ be a topological involution on G . Then

- (i) $L^1(G, \tau)$ is amenable if and only if G is amenable.
- (ii) $L^1(G, \tau)$ is weakly amenable.

Proof. By Theorem 3.5 and 3.6 the amenability and weak amenability of $L^1(G, \tau)$ and $L^1(G)$ are equivalent. Since $L^1(G)$ is amenable if and only if G is amenable [5], (i) follows. Since $L^1(G)$ is weakly amenable [6], we conclude that $L^1(G, \tau)$ is also weakly amenable.

4. MULTIPLIERS

In this section we characterize the multipliers of $L^1(G, \tau)$. A bounded real linear operator T on $L^1(G, \tau)$ is called a left (right) multiplier if $T(f * g) = (Tf) * g (= f * Tg)$ $f, g \in L^1(G, \tau)$.

Definition 4. 1. Let δ_x be the point mass at $x \in G$. We define $m_x = \frac{\delta_x + \delta_{\tau(x)}}{2}$ and $R_x(f) = m_x * f (f \in L^1(G, \tau))$.

It is clear that $m_x \circ \tau = m_x$ (since $\tau^2 = \tau$) and $\|m_x\| = 1$. Therefore, $m_x \in M(G, \tau)$.

Lemma 4. 2. Let μ be a measure in $M(G)$ such that $f * \mu \in L^1(G, \tau)$ for every $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$. Then $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$.

Proof. We have $f * \mu(x) = \int_G f(xy^{-1})d\mu(y)$ ($x \in G$). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f * (\bar{\mu} \circ \tau)(x) &= \int_G f(xy^{-1})d(\bar{\mu} \circ \tau)(y) \\ &= \int_G f(x(\tau(y^{-1})))d\bar{\mu}(y) \\ &= \int_G \bar{f}(\tau(x)y^{-1})d\bar{\mu}(y) \\ &= \int_G f(\tau(x)y^{-1})d\bar{\mu}(y) \\ &= \overline{f * \mu(\tau(x))} = f * \mu(x). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

So $f * (\bar{\mu} \circ \tau) = f * \mu$ for every f in $L^1(G, \tau)$. Since $L^1(G, \tau)$ has a bounded approximate identity, we have $\bar{\mu} \circ \tau = \mu$. Hence $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$.

Theorem 4. 3. Let T be a left multiplier on $L^1(G, \tau)$. Then there exists a unique $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$ such that $Tf = f * \mu (f \in L^1(G, \tau))$ and $\|\mu\| = \|T\|$.

Proof. We define $T_0 : L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G)$ by $T_0(f) = T(g) + iT(h)$ where $f = g + ih$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(f_1 * f_2) &= T_0((g_1 + ih_1) * (g_2 + ih_2)) \\ &= T_0(g_1 * g_2 + ih_1 * g_2 + ig_1 * h_2 - h_1 * h_2) \\ &= T_0(g_1 * g_2 - h_1 * h_2) + iT(h_1 * g_2 + g_1 * h_2) \\ &= g_1 * Tg_2 - h_1 * Th_2 + ih_1 * Tg_2 + ig_1 * Th_2 \\ &= (g_1 + ih_1) * (Tg_2 + iTh_2) \\ &= f_1 * T_0f_2. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Hence, T_0 is a left multiplier on $L^1(G)$. Therefore, by [7] there exists a unique $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$ such that $T_0 h = h * \mu$ for every h in $L^1(G)$ and $\|T_0\| = |\mu|(G)$. Consequently, $Tf = f * \mu$ for every $L^1(G, \tau)$ and $\|T\| \leq \|T_0\| = |\mu|(G)$. Now, since $f * \mu \in L^1(G, \tau)$ for all $f \in L^1(G, \tau)$, $\mu \in M(G, \tau)$ by Lemma 4.2. But by the proof of [7, Theorem 1] we have $\mu = \omega^* - \lim_{\alpha} T_0 e_{\alpha} = \omega^* - \lim_{\alpha} T e_{\alpha}$. Now, since $\|T e_{\alpha}\| \leq \|T\|$,

$$|\mu|(G) \leq \|T\|. \quad (26)$$

Therefore, $\|T\| = |\mu|$.

REFERENCES

1. Ingelstam, L. (1964). Real Banach algebras, *Ark. Math.* 5, 239-270.
2. Kulkarni, S. H. & Limaye, B. V. (1992). "Real function algebras" Marcel Dekker, Inc.
3. Hewitt, E. & Ross, K. A. (1963) & (1970). *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vols, I, II Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
4. Bonsall, F. F. & Duncan, J. (1973). "Complete normed algebras", Springer-Verlag, New.
5. Johnson, B. E. (1972). Cohomology in Banach algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127.
6. Johnson, B. E. (1991). Weak amenability of group algebras, *Bull. London. Math. Soc.* 23, 281-284.
7. Wendel, J. G. (1952). Left centralizers and isomorphism of group algebras, *Pacific. J. Math.* 2, 251-261.