

---

## On the multiplication operator on analytic function spaces

Kh. Jahedi

Department of Mathematics, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran  
E-mail: [mjahedi80@yahoo.com](mailto:mjahedi80@yahoo.com)

---

### Abstract

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space of functions analytic on a plane domain  $\Omega$  such that for every  $\lambda$  in  $\Omega$  the functional of evaluation at  $\lambda$  is bounded. Assume further that  $H$  contains the constants and admits multiplication by the independent variable  $z$ ,  $M_z$ , as a bounded operator. We give sufficient conditions for  $M_{z^n}$  to be reflexive for all positive integers  $n$ .

**Keywords:** Hilbert spaces of analytic functions; multiplication operators; reflexive operator; multipliers; Caratheodory hull; bounded point evaluation; spectral set

---

### 1. Introduction

By a domain we understand a connected open subset of the plane. If  $B$  is a bounded domain in the plane, then the Caratheodory hull (or  $\mathbb{C}$ -hull) of  $B$  is the complement of the closure of the unbounded component of the complement of the closure of  $B$ . The  $\mathbb{C}$ -hull of  $B$  is denoted by  $B^*$ . Intuitively,  $B^*$  can be described as the interior of the outer boundary of  $B$ , and in analytic terms it can be defined as the interior of the set of all points  $z_0$  in the plane such that  $|p(z_0)| \leq \sup\{|p(z)| : z \in B\}$  for all polynomials  $p$ . The components of  $B^*$  are simply connected; in fact, one can easily see that each of these components has a connected complement. The component of  $B^*$  that contains  $B$  is denoted by  $B_1$ . Note that for all polynomials  $p$ ,  $\|p\|_B = \|p\|_{B_1}$ . Note that  $B_1$  is a Caratheodory domain and so by the Farrel-Rubel-Shields Theorem ([1, Theorem 5.1, p.151]), each bounded analytic function on  $B_1$  can be approximated by a sequence of polynomials pointwise boundedly. Now let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space and let  $B(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . Recall that if  $A \in B(H)$ , then  $Lat(A)$  is by definition the lattice of all invariant subspaces of  $A$ , and  $AlgLat(A)$  is the algebra of

all operators  $B$  in  $B(H)$  such that  $Lat(A) \subset Lat(B)$ . An operator  $A$  in  $B(H)$  is said to be *reflexive* if  $AlgLat(A) = W(A)$ , where  $W(A)$  is the smallest subalgebra of  $B(H)$  that contains  $A$  and the identity  $I$  is closed in the weak operator topology. For some sources on these topics see [2-7].

Consider a Hilbert space  $H$  of functions analytic on a plane domain  $G$ , such that for each  $\lambda \in G$  the linear functional,  $e_\lambda$ , of evaluation at  $\lambda$  is bounded on  $H$ . Assume further that  $H$  contains the constant functions and multiplication by the independent variable  $z$  defines a bounded linear operator  $M_z$  on  $H$ . The continuity of point evaluations along with the Riesz representation theorem imply that for each  $\lambda \in G$  there is a unique function  $k_\lambda \in H$  such that  $e_\lambda(f) = f(\lambda) = \langle f, k_\lambda \rangle$ ,  $f \in H$ . The function  $k_\lambda$  is called the *reproducing kernel* for the point  $\lambda$ .

A complex valued function  $\varphi$  on  $G$  for which  $\varphi f \in H$  for every  $f \in H$  is called a *multiplier* of  $H$  and the collection of all these multipliers is denoted by  $M(H)$ . Each multiplier  $\varphi$  of  $H$  determines a multiplication operator  $M_\varphi$  on  $H$  by  $M_\varphi f = \varphi f$ ,  $f \in H$ . It is well known that each multiplier is a bounded analytic function on  $G$  ([8]). In fact,  $\|\varphi\|_G \leq \|M_\varphi\|$ . We shall use the

following notation for the norm of the operator  $M_\varphi$  :

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty = \|M_\varphi\|.$$

We also point out that if  $\varphi$  is a multiplier and  $\lambda \in G$ , then

$$M_\varphi^* k_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} k_\lambda.$$

Also, we say that  $M(H)$  is isometrically rotation invariant if whenever  $\varphi \in M(H)$ ,  $\varphi_\theta \in M(H)$  and  $\|\varphi\|_\infty = \|\varphi_\theta\|_\infty$  where  $\varphi_\theta(z) = \varphi(e^{-i\theta}z)$ . By  $H(G)$  and  $H^\infty(G)$  we mean respectively the set of analytic functions on a plane domain  $G$  and the set of bounded analytic functions on  $G$ .

**2. Main results**

In this article, we investigate the reflexivity of the powers of the multiplication operator  $M_z$  acting on a Hilbert function space.

From now on, let  $\Omega$  be a domain in the complex plane such that  $\Omega_1$  is equal to the open unit disc  $D$ . Also, suppose that the Hilbert space  $H$  under consideration satisfy the following axioms:

**Axiom 1.**  $H$  is a subspace of the space of all analytic functions on  $\Omega$ .

**Axiom 2.** For each  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , the linear functional of evaluation at  $\lambda$ ,  $e_\lambda$ , is bounded on  $H$ .

**Axiom 3.** The uniform limits of polynomials on  $\Omega$  is contained in  $M(H)$  and  $M(H)$  is isometrically rotation invariant.

**Axiom 4.** The sequence  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $H$  where  $f_k(z) = z^k$  for all integers  $k$ . Note that by axiom 4, each function  $f \in H$  can be represented by series expansion  $f = \sum_n \hat{f}(n) f_n$ . For  $h \in M(H)$  and  $w \in \partial D$ , define  $h_w$  by  $h_w(z) = h(wz)$ . Thus  $\hat{h}_w(n) = w^n \hat{h}(n)$  for all  $n$ . Also, since  $|w| = 1$  we have

$$\|h_w\|^2 = \sum_n |\hat{h}_w(n)|^2 \|f_n\|^2 = \sum_n |\hat{h}(n)|^2 \|f_n\|^2 = \|h\|^2.$$

The following theorem extends the results obtained by Allen Shields [9] that have been proved only for the special case where  $H$  is the Hilbert space of formal Laurent series.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\varphi \in M(H)$ . If  $g$  is a continuous complex valued function on  $\partial D$  and  $d\lambda = |dw|/2\pi$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\partial D$ , then the operator

$$\int_{\partial D} \varphi_w g(w) d\lambda$$

defined by

$$\left(\int_{\partial D} \varphi_w g(w) d\lambda\right) f = \int_{\partial D} g(w) M_{\varphi_w} f d\lambda$$

is in  $M(H)$  and

$$\left\| \int_{\partial D} \varphi_w g(w) d\lambda \right\|_\infty \leq \|M_\varphi\| \int_{\partial D} |g| d\lambda.$$

**Proof:** Note that the strong operator continuity of  $\varphi_w$  allows us to define

$$\int_{\partial D} \varphi_w g(w) f d\lambda$$

for all  $f \in H$ . If  $f, h \in H$ , then

$$\left\langle \int_{\partial D} \varphi_w g(w) f d\lambda, h \right\rangle = \int_{\partial D} g(w) \langle \varphi_w f, h \rangle d\lambda.$$

So we get

$$\left\| \int_{\partial D} \varphi_w g(w) f d\lambda \right\| \leq \|M_\varphi\| \|f\| \int_{\partial D} |g| d\lambda.$$

Hence

$$\left(\int_{\partial D} \varphi_w g(w) d\lambda\right) f = \int_{\partial D} g(w) M_{\varphi_w} f d\lambda \leq \|M_\varphi\| \|f\| \int_{\partial D} |g| d\lambda.$$

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $\varphi \in H(\Omega_1) \cap M(H)$ , then there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{r_n\}$  such that  $\hat{r}_n(j) = (1 - \frac{j}{n+1}) \hat{\varphi}(j)$  whenever  $j = 0, \dots, n$

and is 0 else, and  $M_{r_n} \rightarrow M_\varphi$  in the weak operator topology.

**Proof:** Let  $\varphi \in H(\Omega_1) \cap M(H)$ . Since  $\Omega_1 = D$ , we can represent  $\varphi$  by a power series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(k) z^k. \text{ Put}$$

$$P_n(\varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right) \hat{\varphi}(k) z^k, \quad n \geq 0$$

and

$$K_n(w) = \sum_{|k| \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) w^k, \quad w \in \partial U, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\int_{\partial D} \varphi_w K_n(\bar{w}) d\lambda = M_{\varphi_n K_n}, \quad n \geq 0$$

where

$$(\varphi_n K_n)(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \hat{\varphi}(j) \hat{K}_n(j) z^j = P_n(\varphi).$$

Note that  $K_n \geq 0$  and

$$\int_{\partial D} K_n d\lambda = 1.$$

For all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $P_n(\varphi) \in M(H)$  and by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\|M_{P_n(\varphi)}\| = \|M_{\varphi_n K_n}\| \leq \|M_\varphi\| \int_{\partial D} K_n d\lambda = \|M_\varphi\|.$$

Put  $r_n = P_n(\varphi)$ . Note that  $M_{r_n}$  is represented by the matrix whose (i,j)-th entry is

$$\langle M_{r_n} f_j, f_i \rangle = \hat{r}_n(i-j) \|f_i\|^2 = \left(1 - \frac{i-j}{n}\right) \hat{\varphi}(i-j) \|f_i\|^2.$$

Hence

$$\lim_n \langle M_{r_n} f_j, f_i \rangle = \langle M_\varphi f_j, f_i \rangle$$

for all base elements  $f_j$  and  $f_i$  in  $H$ . By the boundedness of the sequence  $\{M_{r_n}\}$  we have

$M_{r_n} \rightarrow M_\varphi$  in the weak operator topology. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Omega\}$  is norm bounded, then  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive for all  $k \geq 1$ .

**Proof:** The boundedness of point evaluations and the Closed Graph Theorem ensure that in multiplication by  $z$ ,  $M_z$  is a bounded operator on  $H$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and note that  $W(M_{z^k}) \subset \text{AlgLat}(M_{z^k})$ . On the other hand, let  $X \in \text{AlgLat}(M_{z^k})$ . Since  $\text{Lat}(M_z) \subset \text{Lat}(M_{z^k})$ , we have  $\text{Lat}(M_z) \subset \text{Lat}(X)$ . This implies that  $X \in \text{AlgLat}(M_z)$ . Note that since  $M_z^* e_\lambda = \bar{\lambda} e_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda$  in  $\Omega$ , the one dimensional span of  $e_\lambda$  is invariant under  $M_z^*$ . Therefore, it is invariant under  $X^*$  and we can write  $X^* e_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} e_\lambda, \lambda \in \Omega$ . So

$$\langle Xf, e_\lambda \rangle = \langle f, X^* e_\lambda \rangle = \varphi(\lambda) f(\lambda)$$

for all  $f \in H$  and  $\lambda \in \Omega$ . This implies that  $X = M_\varphi$  and  $\varphi \in M(H)$ , hence  $\varphi \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Now put  $N = H^\infty(\Omega_1)$ . Then  $N \neq \emptyset$ , since  $1 \in N$ . Note that by axiom 3,  $N \subset M(H)$ . To see this let  $f \in H^\infty(\Omega_1)$ . Since  $\Omega_1$  is a Caratheodory domain, by the Farrel-Rubel-Shields Theorem [1, Theorem 5.1, p. 151], there is a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of polynomials converging to  $f$  such that for all  $n, N$  for some  $c > 0$ . So  $\{p_n\}_n$  is a normal family in  $H^\infty(\Omega)$  and by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may suppose that for some function  $g$ ,  $p_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ , this implies that indeed  $g = f$ . Hence by axiom 3,  $f \in M(H)$  and so  $N \subset M(H) \subset H$ . Also, it is a closed subspace of  $H$ , since if  $\{h_n\}_n \subset N$  and  $h_n \rightarrow f$  in  $H$ , so for all  $n, \|h_n\|_H \leq c_1$  for some  $c_1 > 0$ . Because point evaluations are bounded, for all  $\lambda$  in  $\Omega$  we have

$$h_n(\lambda) = \langle h_n, e_\lambda \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, e_\lambda \rangle = f(\lambda).$$

Also, we note that for all  $\lambda$  in  $\Omega$ ,

$$|h_n(\lambda)| = |\langle h_n, e_\lambda \rangle| \leq \|h_n\|_H \|e_\lambda\| \leq c_2 \|h_n\|_H$$

where  $c_2 = \sup\{\|e_\lambda\| : \lambda \in \Omega\}$ . Thus

$$\|h_n\|_\Omega \leq c_2 \|h_n\|_H \leq c_1 c_2$$

for all  $n$ . Since  $h_n \in H^\infty(\Omega_1)$ ,  $\|h_n\|_{\Omega_1} = \|h_n\|_\Omega$  and so  $\|h_n\|_{\Omega_1} \leq c_1 c_2$  for all  $n$ . This implies that  $\{h_n\}_n$  is a normal family in  $H^\infty(\Omega_1)$  and we may assume that for some function  $g$ ,  $h_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega_1$ . Thus  $g \in H^\infty(\Omega_1)$ . But by pointwise convergence  $f = g$  on  $\Omega$  and so  $f$  can be extended to a bounded analytic function on  $\Omega_1$ , i.e.,  $f \in H^\infty(\Omega_1)$  and so  $N$  is indeed a closed subspace of  $H$ . Now clearly  $N \in Lat(M_z)$ , thus  $XN \subset N$ . Since  $1 \in N$  we get  $X1 = \varphi \in N \subset H^\infty(\Omega_1)$ . Now by Lemma 2.2, there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{r_n\}$  (indeed  $r_n = P_n(\varphi)$ ) such that  $M_{r_n} \rightarrow M_\varphi$  in the weak operator topology. Now let  $\mathbf{M}_k$  be the closed linear span of the set  $\{f_{nk} : n \geq 0\}$  (recall that  $f_i(z) = z^i$  for all  $i$ ). We have

$$M_{z^k} f_{nk} = f_{(n+1)k} \in \mathbf{M}_k$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Thus  $\mathbf{M}_k \in Lat(M_{z^k})$  and so

$\mathbf{M}_k \in Lat(M_\varphi)$ . Let  $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(n)z^n$ . Since

$1 \in \mathbf{M}_k$ , thus  $M_\varphi 1 = \varphi \in \mathbf{M}_k$ . Hence  $\hat{\varphi}(i) = 0$  for all  $i \neq nk$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Now, by a consequence of the particular construction of  $r_n$  used in Lemma 2.2, each  $r_n$  should be a polynomial in  $z^k$ , i.e.,  $r_n(z) = q_n(z^k)$  for some polynomial  $q_n$ . Thus

$$M_{r_n} = r_n(M_z) = q_n(M_{z^k}) \rightarrow X$$

in the weak operator topology. Hence  $X \in W(M_{z^k})$ . Thus  $M_{z^k}$  is reflexive and this completes the proof.

### Acknowledgment

This investigation has been supported by project 90548 of the Islamic Azad University, Shiraz Branch, Iran.

### References

- [1] Gamelin, T. (1984). *Uniform algebra*. Chelsea, N.Y.
- [2] Dehghan, Y. N. & Yousefi, B. (2005). Reflexivity of canonical models associated with generalized Bergman kernels. *Korean Annals of Math.*, 22(1), 95-102.
- [3] Faghieh Ahmadi, M. & Hedayatian, K. (2010). On the reflexivity of hyponormal and weighted shift operators. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 30B(4), 1100-1104.
- [4] Seddighi, K. & Yousefi, B. (1992). On the reflexivity of operators on function spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 116, 45-52.
- [5] Yousefi, B. (2004). Multiplication operators on Hilbert spaces of analytic functions. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 83(6), 536-539.
- [6] Yousefi, B. (2005). On the eighteenth question of Allen Shields. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 16(1), 1-6.
- [7] Yousefi, B. & Khoshdel, Sh. (2012). Reflexivity of powers of the multiplication operator on special function spaces. *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 32B (6), 2279-2284.
- [8] Shields, A. & Wallen, L. (1971). The commutants of certain Hilbert space operators. *Ind. Univ. Math. J.*, 20, 777-788.
- [9] Shields, A. L. (1974). Weighted shift operators and analytic functions theory. *Math. Surveys, A.M.S. Providence*, 13, 49-128.